

DEGENERATE QUADRATIC AND SYMPLECTIC BUNDLES ON A COMPACT RIEMANN SURFACE

YASHONIDHI PANDEY

ABSTRACT. Let (V, q) be a vector bundle on a compact Riemann surface X together with a quadratic form $q : \text{Sym}^2(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (respectively symplectic form $q : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$) that is only generically non-degenerate. Fixing the degeneracy locus and type of q , we construct a coarse moduli of such objects. Further we prove semi-stable reduction theorem when $-\deg(V) < \text{rank}(V)/2$. In particular, the case when degeneracies of q are higher than one is that of principal interest.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Overview of results	2
1.3. Comments	3
1.4. Comparison with [7]	4
1.5. Remark on Notation	4
1.6. Acknowledgement	5
2. Quadratic Bundles	5
2.1. Formulation of the functor	6
3. An equivalence of categories	7
4. Coarse moduli of Γ -Framed modules	12
4.1. Semistable Γ -framed modules	12
4.2. Equivalent conditions of Γ -semi-stability	15
4.3. Moduli of Γ -framed modules	16
5. Tensor product of Framed modules on <i>curves</i>	25
6. Construction of coarse moduli space of Γ -orthogonal bundles with frames	27
6.1. Functorial properness of the evaluation map	28
6.2. Construction of quotient space for $\delta = 1$	30
6.3. Points of moduli	32

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F22, 14D23, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Quadratic bundles, Framed modules, Level Structures, Semi-stable reduction.

7. Comparison with [6, Gomez-Sols]	35
8. Semi-stable reduction for $\delta = 1$ and $ S_{1/2} < \text{rank}$	37
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. In this paper we study pairs (V, q) where V is a vector bundle of rank n on a compact Riemann surface X and $q : \text{Sym}^2 V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is a quadratic form on V that is *only generically non-degenerate*. If $\deg(V) = 0$ then q must be a everywhere non-degenerate. This is well studied. The case of $\deg(V) < 0$ becomes that carrying interest. Note that if $q : \text{Sym}^2 V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_X(-x) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ for some $x \in X$, then by going to a cover, we may take square root of $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)$. We have assumed in this article therefore that no such factoring takes place.

For the general case of vector bundles equipped with a quadratic form (possibly *everywhere degenerate*), a compact moduli space has been constructed in [6, Gomez-Sols] for rank three using Geometric invariant theory. In [6], the authors find several non-linear conditions of semi-stability and expect more such conditions in the higher rank case. The key difficulty in [6] arises in the application of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion when one tries to interpret the (semi)-stable points on a versal space in terms of the quotient object (V, q) *intrinsically*. In [4, Section 2], the moduli of quadratic or symplectic bundles has been constructed for any rank using techniques of Differential geometry (cf also [5] and [3] for symplectic case). The semi-stability conditions have also been explicated in [10] for any rank for quadric bundles. They simplify the semi-stability conditions on decorated vector bundles of [15, A.Schmitt], which should be checked for any weighted filtration, to those of length two.

Our interest has been in fixing the degeneracy locus of q and also fixing its type i.e the sky-scraper sheaf $V^*/q(V)$, especially when it has depth more than one. This does not seem to have been studied before.

1.2. Overview of results. By restricting ourselves to generically non-degenerate quadratic bundles, we are able to reinterpret them as orthogonal bundles on two-sheeted cover Y equipped with level structure together with a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action. More precisely, in Theorem 3.2 we show an equivalence of category between such objects and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - O_n bundles (W, q') on some Galois cover where the underlying vector bundle W

is moreover endowed with a *level structure* $f : W \rightarrow S$ for some sky-scraper sheaf S (cf. [17, CSS] or [9, HL] where such these are called *framed modules*).

Using this reinterpretation, we construct a coarse moduli space for equivalence classes of semi-stable $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-}O_n$ -bundles with frames or equivalently quadratic bundles with prescribed degeneracies in any rank in Theorem 6.9 for a certain extremal value of the parameter δ . The construction is not entirely Geometric invariant theoretic.

With some restriction on the frame structure, in Theorem 8.1 we prove that the functor which associates to a scheme T , a T -family of $\delta = 1$ semi-stable $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-}O_n$ -bundles together with frame structure is proper. This is equivalent to showing that a semi-stable family of quadratic vector bundles (V_T, q_T) where $-\deg(V_T) < \text{rank}(V)/2$ is proper. Note here that the type of degeneracies of q_T can be higher than one. This is the case of principal interest.

1.3. Comments. We call the depth of a quadratic form q to be the depth of the sky-scraper sheaf $V^*/q(V)$. Then in the depth one case, the level structure can be alternatively recovered as the “ -1 -eigenspace” from the Galois action on the fibers. Thus in Theorem 7.6, we deduce the properness of quadratic bundles in depth one case for any rank from the properness of the $\Gamma - \text{SO}_n$ -functor following the recent work say [1]. Note that this works for any $\deg(V)$. But to tackle the case of higher depth of q we needed to put the restriction on $-\deg(V)$. We are unable to do better.

En route to the construction of moduli space, we needed to construct the moduli of framed modules [9, Huybrechts-Lehn] (or Level structures [16]) together with a Galois action. Since framed modules are known to pick up torsion in the limit, i.e a family of framed modules $f : W \rightarrow S$ with W locally free generically may contain an object in the limit where W has torsion, so to be certain of the (semi)-stability conditions, we give details of the calculations. In retrospect most of them are straightforward generalizations of [9]. The semi-stability conditions appear with a parameter δ . When $\delta > |S|$ then these spaces are empty. So we have often normalized δ by length $|S|$ of S .

In retrospect, using similar techniques it may be possible to construct a coarse moduli space intrinsically in terms of (V, q) i.e without reinterpreting them as $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-}O_n$ bundles with frame structure. But it does not seem to lead to any simplification owing to the equivalence of functors. Moreover, since framed modules support a genuine orthogonal bundle, so working with them seemed conceptually simpler, for example to define the equivalence between semi-stable objects and

semi-stability conditions. Further as a tool they were available [9], so we decided to use them for the construction of coarse moduli space. Also this approach clarifies the link between quadratic bundles and framed modules with orthogonal structure. The setting of [9] is for framed modules over a general projective scheme. Though our main application is over curves, but since the generalization of [9] to Galois situation follows easily, so we made the choice of casting results in that generality.

The other main ingredient in this paper is to define the tensor product structure on framed modules. This is used in checking the properness of various functors, both to construct the moduli as well as to show its compactness.

We also show that our definition of (semi)-stability agrees with that in [6] for some suitable ranges in the values of the parameter to justify the sense in which we have generalised the result of [6, GS].

The preceding discussion also applies to pairs (V, q) where $q : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow X$ is an alternating form that is only generically non-degenerate. To avoid repetition, we have indicated the changes only when it is crucial.

We have cross-checked our results when the framed structure is trivial (cf Remark 6.13).

1.4. Comparison with [7]. The setting of [7] is over a smooth projective scheme. We recall [7, Definition 5.1] which defines an orthogonal sheaf as a pair $(E, \varphi : \text{Sym}^2 E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$ where E is torsion free (OS3) and $\varphi_U : E_U \rightarrow E_U^*$ induces an isomorphism over the open subset U over which E is locally free (OS4). Note that since over curves, the notions of torsion freeness and being locally free agree, so effectively φ is an isomorphism over whole of X . In other words, E is a usual orthogonal bundle. However, we are interested in $\deg(V) < 0$ in which case q can never induce an isomorphism.

1.5. Remark on Notation. We identify a vector bundle V with its sheaf of sections. The 2-uple (W, q') will always denote a vector bundle together with a everywhere non-degenerate quadratic form q' on some Galois cover and the notation (V, q) will signify that q is a quadratic form that is only generically non-degenerate. If V is a vector bundle on a curve X and x is a point of X , then we denote by V_x the localization of the locally free sheaf defined by V at the point x and by $V(x)$ the quotient V_x/m_x . Similarly for a quadratic form q on V , we denote the localization by q_x and the evaluation at x by $q(x)$ and for a group scheme $G \rightarrow X$, the closed special fiber at x is denoted $G(x)$.

1.6. Acknowledgement. This work started during post-doc at Chennai Mathematical Institute. Prof. C.S.Seshadri suggested this problem to me and encouraged. Prof. V.Balaji constantly supported and generously explained the non-GIT approach of [2]. I thank them for the confidence they have placed in me.

My thanks also go to the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai for providing local hospitality.

2. QUADRATIC BUNDLES

Definition 2.1. A quadratic bundle (V, q) is a pair where $q : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a vector bundle homomorphism to the dual of V such that the composition of the natural isomorphism $V \rightarrow (V^*)^*$ with the dual of q , namely $q^* : (V^*)^* \rightarrow V^*$ identifies with q .

Proposition 2.2. Let (V, q) be a quadratic bundle on X such that q is generically an isomorphism. Then putting $S = V^*/q(V)$, there is a natural isomorphism of the sky-scraper sheaves $S \simeq \text{Ext}_X^1(S, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proof. This follows immediately by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{q} V^* \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ and remarking that $q^* = q$. $\square$

Definition 2.3. A morphism between quadratic bundles $\theta : (V_1, q_1) \rightarrow (V_2, q_2)$ is an isomorphism $\theta : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ of the underlying vector bundles such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \xrightarrow{q_1} & V_1^* & \longrightarrow & S_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & \uparrow \theta^* & & \uparrow \overline{\theta^*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_2 & \xrightarrow{q_2} & V_2^* & \longrightarrow & S_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Similarly, one can define the local automorphism group $\text{Aut}_x(V, q)$ of a quadratic bundle at x by taking the stalks at a point. It is $g \in \text{Aut}(V_x)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_x & \xrightarrow{q_1} & V_x^* & \longrightarrow & S_x \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \uparrow g^* & & \uparrow \overline{g^*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_x & \xrightarrow{q_2} & V_x^* & \longrightarrow & S_x \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Remark 2.4. The general case of quadratic bundles $q : \text{Sym}^2 V \rightarrow L$ with values in an arbitrary line bundle L on X can be reduced to this case by going to a 2-sheeted covering $p : Y \rightarrow X$ where $p^*(L)$ becomes the square of a line bundle M on Y . Then the moduli of $(p^*V, p^*(q), p^*L)$ is isomorphic to $p^*(q) : \text{Sym}^2(p^*V) \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

2.1. Formulation of the functor. We concentrate on quadratic bundles (V, q) such that q is generically an isomorphism. Let n be a fixed integer that denotes the rank of the underlying vector bundles.

We now describe a datum that will be fixed throughout this paper. We fix

- (1) a line bundle L on X
- (2) a non-zero global section $s \in \Gamma(X, L^2)$
- (3) a sky-scraper sheaf S on X which is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_X^n$

such that the section s and the sheaf S satisfy the following compatibility condition

$$\text{div}(s) = \text{length}(S).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the category whose objects are quadratic bundles (V, q) satisfying the following properties

- (1) $q : V \rightarrow V^*$ is generically an isomorphism
- (2) the quotient $V^*/q(V)$ is a sky-scraper sheaf denoted S on X
- (3) $\det(V) = L^{-1}$
- (4) the natural map $\det(q) : \det(V) \rightarrow \det(V^*)$ comes from the section $s \in \Gamma(X, L^2)$.

Morphisms between such bundles are the usual morphisms between quadratic bundles.

Let $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C} : \{\text{Schemes}\}^{op} \rightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}$ be the functor which to a scheme T associates the equivalence classes of 3-uples (V, q_T, M) where V is a vector bundle on $X \times T$, $q_T : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a symmetric morphism, M on T is a line bundle satisfying

- (1) $\det(V) = p_X^*(L^{-1}) \otimes p_T^*(M)$
- (2) $V^*/q_T(V) = p_X^*(S) \otimes p_T^*(M)$
- (3) For all $t \in T$, the associated map $q_t : V_t \rightarrow V_t^*$ induces the section $s \in \Gamma(X, L^2)$ on taking determinants.

We say that two 3-uples (V_1, q_1, M_1) and (V_2, q_2, M_2) are equivalent if

- (1) there exists a line bundle N on T
- (2) a global section $\eta \in \Gamma(T, N^{-2})$
- (3) an isomorphism $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes p_T^*(N)$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{q_1} & V_1^* \\ \phi \downarrow & & \uparrow \phi^* \\ V_2 \otimes p_T^*(N) & \xrightarrow{(q_2, p^*(\eta))} & V_2^* \otimes p_T^*(N^*) \end{array}$$

3. AN EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES

In this section we shall consider the following category.

Definition 3.1. Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a two-sheeted cover of X . Let (W, q') be a vector bundle on Y with an everywhere non-degenerate quadratic form q' , such that the action of the Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on Y lifts to (W, q') . Suppose furthermore that we are given a natural surjective homomorphism $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$, where $S_{1/2}$ is a sky-scraper sheaf on Y such that

- (1) support of $S_{1/2}$ equals the ramification locus of p
- (2) length of $S_{1/2}$ equals the degree of the section disc of $p : Y \rightarrow X$.
- (3) the induced action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on $\text{Ker}(f)$ is trivial along the fibers.

We call such a data a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -quadratic bundle on the curve Y with frame structure $(f, S_{1/2})$. Morphisms between such bundles are isomorphisms of the underlying bundles that respect the quadratic forms and frame structures. Similarly, one can define local automorphism group $\text{Aut}_y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}((W, q') \rightarrow S_{1/2})$ by taking stalk at the point $y \in Y$. It is $g \in \text{Aut}_y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(W)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(q')_y & \longrightarrow & W_y^* & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2_y} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \uparrow g^* & & \uparrow \overline{g^*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(q')_y & \longrightarrow & W_y^* & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2_y} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We say that a T -family $f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$ is *flat* if $f_t \neq 0$ for all t points of T . Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover of smooth projective curves with Galois group Γ . Let $\mathcal{F}_C^{S_{1/2}} : \{\text{Schemes}\}^{op} \rightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}$ be the functor that to a scheme T associates a flat T -family $E = (W, q') \rightarrow p_Y^*(S_{1/2})$ of orthogonal bundles on $Y \times T$ along with a surjective morphism to $W \rightarrow p_Y^*(S_{1/2})$, such that for $t \in T$ the Γ -action of $p : Y \rightarrow X$ lifts to E_t and such that the induced Γ -action on $\text{Ker}(W \rightarrow S_{1/2})$ is trivial.

Theorem 3.2. We have an equivalence of categories between generically non-degenerate quadratic bundles (V, q) on X and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -quadratic bundles with frame structure over two-sheeted covers of X . Moreover for any scheme T , the above correspondence is respected by families of objects parametrized by T .

Proof. Let (V_T, q_T) be a T -family in $\mathcal{F}_C$. The morphism $\det(q_T) : \det(V_T) \rightarrow \det(V_T^*)$ of line bundles on T gives a global section s_T of $\det(V_T^*)^2$. Now by 2.1(3), for any $t \in T$, the zero locus of s_t is the

same as $\text{zero}(s)$. Let $p : Y_s \rightarrow X$ be a two-sheeted curve that realises the square root of this section. We describe this construction more formally to introduce the notation.

We denote by $\det(V^*)$ by L and $p : \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L^{-1})) \rightarrow X$ by $\mathbb{P}$. Let x be the section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ defined by the "first co-ordinate" map

$$x : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus L^{-1}$$

and y be the section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes p^*(L)$ defined by the "second co-ordinate" map

$$y : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes p^*(L)) = (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L^{-1}) \otimes L = L \oplus \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Thus the section $y^2 - p^*(s)x^2$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \otimes p^*(L^2)$. The curve Y_s is defined as the zero sub-scheme of this section. We denote the projection onto X again by p and the zero locus of s by D .

On $X \times T$, denoting $p_X : X \times T \rightarrow X$, we have the following short exact-sequence that defines the sky-scraper sheaves

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_T \xrightarrow{q_T} V_T^* \rightarrow p_X^*S \rightarrow 0$$

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \det(V_T) \xrightarrow{s_T} \det(V_T^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{div}(s_T)} \rightarrow 0.$$

For any $t \in T$, the following sets are equal : the degeneracy locus of q_t , support of the divisor D , ramification locus $\text{ram}(p)$ and the support of S .

Definition 3.3. Multiplication by the section $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes p^*L$ defines the morphism $m(y) : p^*S \rightarrow p^*S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes p^*L \simeq p^*S$, where for the last isomorphism, one uses local trivializations morphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes p^*L$ is small neighbourhoods around points in the support of p^*S . We define the "half" $S_{1/2}$ of the module $p^*(S)$ as the sub-module of p^*S generated by

$$\text{Img}(m(y)^i) \cap \text{Ker}(m(y)^i)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \deg(s)$.

The above definition shows the naturality of the definition of $S_{1/2}$.

Proposition 3.4. The quotient module $p^*S/S_{1/2}$ is canonically isomorphic to $S_{1/2}$. So we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow p^*S \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The claim can be checked at stalks, and the stalks decompose as direct sums. The direct sums have even depth. So it suffices to check when $p^*(S) = \mathbb{C}[T]/T^{2n}$. Now $\text{Img}(m(y)^i) \cap \text{Ker}(m(y)^i) = T^{\max\{i, 2n-i\}}\mathbb{C}[T]/T^{2n}$. So the sub-module of $\mathbb{C}[T]/T^{2n}$ generated, when i varies in $1, \dots, \deg(s)$, is $T^n\mathbb{C}[T]/T^{2n}$. Now (3.4) follows immediately. $\square$

Let us recall the Rees lemma in Homological algebra.

Theorem 3.5 (Rees). Let R be a ring and $x \in R$ be element which is neither a unit nor a zero divisor. Let $R^* = R/(x)$. For a R -module M , suppose moreover that x is regular on M . Then there is an isomorphism of

$$\text{Ext}_{R^*}^n(L^*, M/xM) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(L^*, M)$$

for every R^* -module L^* and every $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.6. For any sky-scraper sheaf S on X we have $\text{Ext}_X^1(S, \mathcal{O}_X) = S$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the support of S is just one point $p \in X$ and that $S = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i$ where t is a uniformizer at p . By the Rees lemma applied to t^i , we have $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i, \mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i) = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}/t^i$. $\square$

For convenience we denote $Y \times T \rightarrow X \times T$ also by p . The extension class

$$(3.5) \quad [0 \rightarrow p^*V_T \rightarrow p^*V_T^* \rightarrow p_Y^*S \rightarrow 0] \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times T}}^1(p_Y^*S, p^*V_T)$$

maps to an extension class in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times T}}^1(p_Y^*S_{1/2}, p^*V_T)$, which we denote by

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow p^*V \rightarrow W \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

In what follows, for sake of readability we omit T , as if T were we a point. The proof for an arbitrary T carry over word for word.

The action of the Galois group $\text{Aut}(Y/X)$ on p^*V extends to its Hecke-modification W by “transport of structure”.

Proposition 3.7. The quadratic form p^*q on p^*V extends uniquely to an everywhere non-degenerate quadratic form q' on W .

Proof. Dualizing of the extension class (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow W^* \rightarrow p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0$$

We shall prove that the composite of $p^*V \xrightarrow{p^*q} p^*V^*$ with $p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is zero, so by (3.7), we would have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & p^*V & & \\ & \swarrow q_1 & \downarrow p^*q & & \\ W^* & \longrightarrow & p^*V^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array}$$

Then we shall show that the composite of $q_1^* : W \rightarrow p^*V^*$ with $p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is zero, so we would have the desired factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \swarrow q' & \downarrow q_1^* & \\ W^* & \longrightarrow p^*V^* & \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array}$$

Firstly, since the extension (3.5) arises from $\text{Id} \in \text{Hom}(p^*S, p^*S)$ under the connecting homomorphism, so in $\text{Ext}^1(p^*S, p^*V^*)$ its image is zero. This corresponds to taking the push-out of (3.5) by $p^*q : p^*V \rightarrow p^*V^*$. It follows now by the commuting squares,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(p^*S, p^*S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(p^*S, p^*V) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(p^*S, p^*V^*) & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(S_{1/2}, p^*S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, p^*V) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, p^*V^*) & \end{array}$$

the push-out of (3.6) by $p^*q : p^*V \rightarrow p^*V^*$ becomes the trivial extension

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*V & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow p^*q & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*V^* & \longrightarrow & p^*V^* \oplus S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The composite of $p^*V \xrightarrow{p^*q} p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, corresponds to evaluating by $v \in p^*V$ to get push out of the bottom row of (3.8). Taking the push-out of (3.8) which is the trivial extension,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*(V) & \longrightarrow & p^*(V) \oplus S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}(v) & & \downarrow \text{ev}(v) & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we again get trivial extensions. This means, in other words, that the composite is zero. This furnishes the map $q_1 : p^*(V) \rightarrow W^*$.

By the sequence (3.7) and (3.5) we get a natural surjective map $p^*S \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) = S_{1/2}$ and the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \rightarrow p^*S \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0$ identifies with (3.4) because $S_{1/2}$ is "half" of p^*S . We thus get

$$0 \rightarrow p^*V \xrightarrow{q_1} W^* \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

Taking duals, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{q_1^*} p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the composite of q_1^* with $p^*V^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is zero. So we obtain a map $q' : W \rightarrow W^*$ factoring $p^*q : p^*V \rightarrow p^*V^*$. Now q' is an isomorphism: this follows immediately from the short exact sequence (3.4) and the isomorphism $S_{1/2} \simeq \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. $\square$

Conversely, given $f_T : (W_T, q') \rightarrow p_Y^*S_{1/2}$, where $p_Y : Y \times T \rightarrow Y$, we take the $\text{Ker}(f_T)$ and restrict the quadratic form to this sub-sheaf. Now the action of the Galois group becomes trivial on the fibers of $\text{Ker}(f_T)$ and this bundle goes down to $X \times T$ along with the restricted quadratic form.

Now in the following proposition we assume that T is a point i.e we check for objects in the category $\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $y \in Y$ and $x = p(y)$. Then $\text{Aut}_x(V, q) = \text{Aut}_y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}((W, q') \rightarrow S_{1/2})$.

Proof. Firstly the “half” sub-module $S_{1/2}$ of $p^*(S)$ is canonically defined. So the inclusion $S_{1/2} \rightarrow p^*(S)$ provides an inclusion at the stalks at y , so pulling back (2.1) to Y , we get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*(V_x) & \longrightarrow & W_y^* & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2_y} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \pi^*(g) \downarrow & & \pi^*(g^*)|_W \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi^*(g^*)|_{S_{1/2}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*(V_x) & \longrightarrow & W_y^* & \longrightarrow & S_{1/2_y} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This gives an element of $\text{Aut}_y(W \rightarrow S_{1/2})$. After dualizing (3.10), we obtain the following diagram

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi^*(V_x) & \longrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{q'} & W^* & \longrightarrow & \pi^*(V_x^*) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi^*(V_x) & \longrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{q'} & W^* & \longrightarrow & \pi^*(V_x^*) \end{array}$$

Since the left and the right and the extreme most squares commute, therefore so does the one in the middle as W is a Hecke-modification of $\Gamma^*(V)$. This shows that $\pi^*(g) \in \text{Aut}_y((W, q') \rightarrow S_{1/2})$. Its $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariance is formal.

Conversely, any $\text{Aut}_y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}((W, q') \rightarrow S_{1/2})$ extends to an automorphism of

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Ker}(q'), q'|_{\text{Ker}(q')}) \rightarrow (W, q') \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now since the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on W is compatible with $S_{1/2}$, so the restriction to $\text{Ker}(q)$ is trivial along the fibers. Moreover since $g \in$

$\text{Aut}_y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(W, q')$, so its restriction to $q'|_{\text{Ker}}$ also goes down to give a quadratic bundle on X . $\square$

It is a formal check that we have a bijection between isomorphisms between V_1 and V_2 that respect their quadratic structure and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant isomorphisms of (W_1, q'_1) and (W_2, q'_2) respecting their frame structures. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4. COARSE MODULI OF Γ -FRAMED MODULES

In this section (except the last subsection) we suppose more generally that $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a Galois cover of smooth projective schemes with Galois group Γ . Let D be a Γ -invariant coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ a polynomial with positive leading coefficient.

Definition 4.1. A Γ -framed module is a pair consisting of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module E invariant under Γ -action and a Γ -invariant homomorphism $\alpha : E \rightarrow D$, called the framing of E . One calls $\ker(\alpha)$ as the kernel of the Γ -framed module (E, α) . We put $\epsilon(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha \neq 0$ and zero otherwise.

We shall denote $P_E(n) = \chi(E(n))$ the Hilbert polynomial of E and by $P_{(E, \alpha)} = P_E - \epsilon(\alpha)\delta$ the Hilbert polynomial of the pair (E, α) . We put $h^0((E, \alpha)(m)) = h^0(E(m)) - \epsilon(\alpha)\delta(m)$.

Following [9], we recall that if E' is a coherent Γ -submodule of E with quotient $E'' = E/E'$, then a framing $\alpha : E \rightarrow D$ induces framings $\alpha' = \alpha|_{E'}$ and α'' on E'' as follows: α'' is zero if $\alpha' \neq 0$ and it is the induced homomorphism on E'' if α' is zero.

Definition 4.2. A Γ -invariant homomorphism $\phi : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow (E', \alpha')$ of Γ -framed modules is a Γ -homomorphism of underlying modules $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ for which there is an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha' \circ \phi_0 = \alpha$.

Definition 4.3. A Γ -framed module (E, α) of rank r is said to be (semi)stable with respect to δ with reduced Hilbert polynomial p , if $P_{(E, \alpha)} = rp$ and for all Γ -sub-modules E' where $0 \neq E' \neq E$, of rank r' induced framing α' , the following inequality holds

$$P_{(E', \alpha')}(\leq) r' p.$$

4.1. Semistable Γ -framed modules. Given a flat Γ -family of framed modules $(E_T, \alpha_T : E_T \rightarrow D_T)$, we can choose a fixed locally free coherent Γ -module $\tilde{D}_T$ and a surjective Γ -equivariant homomorphism

$\phi : \tilde{D}_T \rightarrow D_T$ with kernel B . Then following [9], to (E_T, α_T) we associate $(\tilde{E}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)$ by pulling back:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\alpha_T) & \longrightarrow & E_T & \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} & D_T \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\alpha_T) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_T & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_T} & \tilde{D}_T \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & B_T & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B_T \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The second row shows that $\tilde{E}_T$ is torsion free if the kernel of α is torsion free. This happens in particular if (E, α) is Γ - μ -semistable.

Lemma 4.4. If (E, α) is a Γ -framed module that can be deformed Γ -equivariantly to a framed module with torsion free kernel, then there is a morphism $\phi : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow (G, \beta)$ of Γ -framed modules, such that

- (1) (G, β) has torsion free kernel.
- (2) $P_E = P_G$.
- (3) $P_{(E, \alpha)} = P_{(G, \beta)}$.
- (4) $\ker(\phi) = T(\ker(\phi))$.

Proof. Let (E_T, α_T) be a T -flat family of framed modules with Γ -action, having the same Hilbert polynomial, where T is a smooth curve, such that $(E_0, \alpha_0) \simeq (E, \alpha)$ for some closed point $0 \in T$ and such that (E_t, α_t) has torsion free kernel for all $t \neq 0$. We may further assume that $\alpha_t \neq 0$ for all $t \in T$.

Let $\tilde{D}_T$ be a locally free coherent module with Γ -action and $\phi : \tilde{D}_T \rightarrow D_T$ be a Γ -equivariant map. We define a T -flat family $(\tilde{E}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)$ with Γ -action as above.

Since $\ker(\tilde{\alpha}_t)$ is torsion free for all $t \in T \setminus 0$, so $\tilde{E}_T$ is torsion free for all $t \in T \setminus 0$. Let $U \subset T \times Y$ be the complement of the support of $S := T(\ker(\alpha_0)) = T(\tilde{E}_0)$. Notice that S and hence U is Γ -invariant. Let $j : U \rightarrow T \times Y$ denote the inclusion map and let $\tilde{E}' = j_*(\tilde{E}_T|_U)$. Then $\tilde{E}'$ contains no T -torsion and is hence flat over T . In particular the fiber $\tilde{E}'_0$ has the same Hilbert polynomial as $\tilde{E}_0$. Moreover the canonical Γ -homomorphism $\tilde{E}_T \rightarrow \tilde{E}'_T$ induces a Γ -homomorphism $\tilde{E}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}'_0$

which is an isomorphism on the complement of Y . Since $\tilde{D}_T$ is normal, so $\tilde{\alpha}_T$ defines a framing $\tilde{\alpha}'_T : \tilde{E}'_T \rightarrow \tilde{D}_T$ which coincides with $\tilde{\alpha}_T$ on U . As in the proof of Simpson's lemma, $\tilde{E}'_0$ is torsion free and thus $\ker(\tilde{\alpha}'_0)$ is torsion free. Finally B maps injectively to $\tilde{E}'_0$ and setting $G = \tilde{E}'_0/B$ with the induced homomorphism $\phi : E_0 \rightarrow G$ and the framing $\beta : G \rightarrow D$ we are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. If (E, α) and (E', α') are Γ -stable with the same reduced Hilbert polynomial p , then any non-trivial Γ -homomorphism $\phi : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow (E', \alpha')$ is an isomorphism. Moreover we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}((E, \alpha), (E', \alpha')) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then there is a unique isomorphism ϕ_0 with $\alpha' \circ \phi_0 = \alpha$.

Proof. (standard) Suppose $\phi : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow (E', \alpha')$ is a non-trivial Γ -equivariant homomorphism. The image $F = \mathrm{img}(\phi)$ inherits framings β and β' when considered as a quotient of E and as a sub-module of E' , respectively. Let us remark that if $\beta' \neq 0$ then $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta' = \lambda\beta$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. In any case, one has

$$\mathrm{rank}(F)p \leq P_{(F, \beta)} \leq P_{(F', \beta')} \leq \mathrm{rank}(F)p$$

therefore equality holds in all places. Owing to Γ -stability, this implies that $E \simeq F \simeq E'$, that $\alpha = \beta \circ \phi$, that $\beta' = \alpha'$ and that β and β' differ by a non-trivial factor. Hence ϕ is an isomorphism of Γ -framed modules. For the remaining assertions, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Aut}(E, \alpha) = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_E$. Suppose that ϕ is an automorphism of (E, α) . Let x be any point in the support of E and let μ be an eigenvalue of ϕ restricted to the fiber E_x . Then $\phi - \mu\mathrm{Id}_E$ is not surjective at x and hence is not an isomorphism. So $\phi - \mu\mathrm{Id}_E$ must be zero by the first part of the proof. $\square$

The filtration in the following proposition is the ‘Jordan-Holder Filtration’ in the case of Γ -framed modules.

Proposition 4.6. Let (E, α) be a Γ -semistable framed module with reduced Hilbert polynomial p . Then there is a filtration

$$E_\bullet : 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_s = E$$

such that all the factors $gr_i(E_\bullet) = E_i/E_{i-1}$ together with induced framings α_i are Γ -stable with respect to δ with reduced Hilbert polynomial δ . The Γ -framed module $(gr(E), gr(\alpha)) = \oplus_i (gr_i(E), \alpha_i)$ is independent of the choice of the Jordan-Holder filtration upto permutation of factors.

Proof. The proof is the standard as in the vector bundle case (cf [9, Prop 1.13]) with the extra ingredient the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. [9, Lemma 1.12] Let $F \subset G \subset E$ be a coherent module and α a framing of E . Then the framings induced on G/F as a quotient of G and as a submodule of E/F agree.

□

Definition 4.8. Two Γ -semistable framed modules (E, α) and (E', α') with reduced Hilbert polynomial p are called S -equivalent, if their associated graded objects $(gr(E), gr(\alpha))$ and $(gr(E'), gr(\alpha'))$ are isomorphic.

We remark that Γ -stable framed module form S -equivalence class by themselves.

Remark 4.9. Notice that at most one of the framings in $gr(\alpha)$ non-zero.

4.2. Equivalent conditions of Γ -semi-stability. In this section we wish to state a technical results (Theorem 4.11) which logically corresponds to Theorem [9, Theorem 2.1]. The proofs in [9, Section 2 Boundedness] generalise in a straight forward way. So we only state the results.

Amongst the families of Γ -framed modules having torsion free kernel and satisfying the the following condition:

- (1) we denote by S^s those that are stable,
- (2) we denote by S'_m those (E, α) such that $P(m) \leq h^0((E, \alpha)(m))$ and $h^0((E', \alpha')(m)) < r'/rP(m)$ for all Γ -sub-modules (E', α') of rank r' , where $0 \neq E' \neq E$.
- (3) we denote by S''_m those (E, α) such that $h^0((E'', \alpha'')(m)) > r''/rP(m)$ for all quotient Γ -modules (E'', α'') of rank r'' where $E \neq E'' \neq 0$.

The lemma below is an ingredient in proving Theorem 4.11.

Lemma 4.10. There are integers C and m_1 such that for all framed modules (E, α) in the family $S = S^s \cup \cup_{m \geq m_1} S''_m$ and for all saturated Γ -sub-modules (E', α') the following holds: $\deg(E') - r'\mu_P \leq C$ and either $-C \leq \deg(E') - r'\mu_P$ or

- (1) $h^0((E', \alpha')(m)) < r'/rP(m)$ if $(E, \alpha) \in S^s$ and $m \geq m_1$
- (2) $r''/rP < P_{(E'', \alpha'')}$ if $(E, \alpha) \in S''_m$ for some $m \geq m_1$.

Here r' and r'' denote the ranks of E' and E'' respectively.

Theorem 4.11. There is an integer m_0 such that the following properties of Γ -framed modules having torsion free kernel with Hilbert polynomial P are equivalent for $m \geq m_0$:

- (1) (E, α) is Γ -semistable.
- (2) we have $P(m) \leq h^0((E, \alpha)(m))$ and

$$h^0((E', \alpha')(m)) \leq r'/r P(m)$$

for all Γ -sub-modules (E', α') of rank r' , where $0 \neq E' \neq E$.

- (3) $h^0((E'', \alpha'')(m)) \geq r''/r P(m)$ where (E'', α'') is a quotient Γ -module of rank r'' and $E \neq E'' \neq 0$.

Moreover, for any framed module satisfying these conditions, E is m -regular.

As a corollary to the above theorem we have

Lemma 4.12. If (E, α) is a semistable Γ -framed module, $m \geq m_0$ an integer, and (E', α') a submodule of rank r' such that $h^0((E', \alpha')(m)) = r'/r P(m)$, then (E', α') is semistable with reduced Hilbert polynomial P/r .

4.3. Moduli of Γ -framed modules. In this section we construct the moduli of semi-stable Γ -vector bundles with frames. Let V be a vector space of dimension $P_0(m)$. We denote $\text{Hilb}(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m), P_0)$ by $\mathbb{H}$, $\text{Grass}(V \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(l-m)), P_0(l))$ by $\mathbb{G}_l$ and $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^{P_0(l)}(V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(l-m))))$ by $\mathbb{P}_l$. For sufficiently large l , we have closed immersions $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_l$. Let L denote the restricted ample line bundle on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, H_Y^0(D(m)))^*)$. Let $Z' \subset \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{P}$ denote the closed sub-scheme of points $([q : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m) \rightarrow F], [a : V \rightarrow H_Y^0(D(m))])$ for which we have a factoring

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(-m) \otimes V & \xrightarrow{a} & H_Y^0(D(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m)) \\ \downarrow q & \nearrow & \\ F & & \end{array}$$

that induces the framing $\alpha : F \rightarrow D$. The action of Γ lifts to Z' . We let $Z'_\Gamma \subset Z'$ denote the sub-scheme fixed under Γ . The group $SAut_\Gamma(V) = \text{Aut}_\Gamma(V) \cap \text{SL}(V)$ acts diagonally on Z'_Γ and the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{Z'_\Gamma}(n_1, n_2) = p_\mathbb{H}^* L^{n_1} \otimes p_\mathbb{P}^* \mathcal{O}(n_2)$ carry natural $SAut_\Gamma(V)$ linearizations.

The following proposition is an analogue of a similar one [12, Prop 3.1].

Proposition 4.13. For sufficiently large l , a point $([q], [a]) \in Z'_\Gamma$ is semi-stable with respect to the linearization $\mathcal{O}_{Z'_\Gamma}(n_1, n_2)$ if and only if

for any non-trivial proper Γ -linear subspace V' of V , generating the subsheaf $F' \subset F$, we have

$$\dim V'(n_1 P_0(l) + n_2)(\leq) \dim V(n_1 P_{F'}(l) + n_2 \epsilon(\alpha|_{F'})).$$

Proof. We begin by describing $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\Gamma(V)$, 1-parameter subgroups. If V decomposes as $\oplus_{m_i} V_i$, then any element of $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(V)$ preserves the isotypical components $\{V_i^{\oplus m_i}\}$. So this group is isomorphic to $\prod \text{GL}(m_i)$. Let k_i denote $\dim(V_i)$. Therefore a 1-parameter subgroup λ decomposes as $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ where, denoting Id_i the identity matrix of size k_i , each $\lambda_i : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_i^{\oplus m_i})$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{r_{i1}} \text{Id}_i & & & \\ & t^{r_{i2}} \text{Id}_i & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & t^{r_{im_i}} \text{Id}_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore any 1-parameter subgroup $\lambda(t)$ of $S\text{Aut}_\Gamma(V)$ can be written with the additional constraint

$$\sum_{i \in I} k_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} r_{ij} = 0.$$

Since any Γ -automorphism of V_i is a scalar, so each number r_i appears k_i times for each i . We $W = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(l - m))$ and $\rho = h^0(Y, F(l))$. Now q induces Γ -homomorphisms $q' : V \otimes W \rightarrow H^0(Y, F(l))$ and $q'' : \Lambda^\rho(V \otimes W) \rightarrow \det H^0(Y, F(l))$. Furthermore for a Γ -subspace U of V , the image of $U \otimes W$ is a Γ -submodule F' of F .

If $\{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ is a basis of W , then a basis for $\Lambda^\rho(V \otimes W)$ is given by

$$u_{IJ} = (u_{i_1} \otimes w_{j_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (u_{i_\rho} \otimes w_{j_\rho})$$

where I and J are multi-indices satisfying $i_\alpha \leq i_{\alpha+1}$.

We order the $\{r_{ij}\}$ in increasing order. This induces a reordering of the basis vectors of V which we denote as $\{v_{ij}\}$. For the sake of convenience in the proof, we also keep in mind a order of the basis vectors, compatible with the previous order, by a *single* index to be able to apply a semi-stability criterion.

Now $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\Lambda^\rho(V \otimes W)$ via λ as follows

$$\lambda(t)u_{IK} = t^{\gamma_I} u_{IK}, \gamma_I = \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_{i_\alpha}.$$

Now let

$$\mu(q'', \lambda) = \min\{\gamma_I | \text{there exist } I, K \text{ with } q''(u_{IK}) \neq 0\}.$$

This number can be computed as follows. Let ϕ denote the function that to t associates $\dim q'(< v_1, \dots, v_t > \otimes W)$. Then

$$\mu(q'', \lambda) = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \gamma_i(\phi(i) - \phi(i-1)).$$

Similarly, if we take $\mu(a, \lambda) = -\min\{\gamma_i | a(v_i) \neq 0\}$, then $\mu(a, \lambda) = -\gamma_\tau$ where $\tau = \min\{i | a(< v_1, \dots, v_i >) \neq 0\}$.

Now $([q], [a])$ is a semi-stable point if and only if for every 1-parameter subgroup λ , one has

$$n_1\mu(q'', \lambda) + n_2\mu(a, \lambda)(\geq)0$$

or equivalently,

$$n_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \gamma_i(\phi(i) - \phi(i-1)) + n_2\gamma_\tau(\leq)0.$$

Let $\{e_{11}, e_{12}, \dots, e_{1k_1}, e_{21}, \dots, e_{2k_2}, \dots, e_{m1}, \dots, e_{mk_m}\}$ be a Γ -basis of V such that the associated characters are in increasing order. Keeping such basis fixed for the moment, it is enough to check the inequality for the special weight vectors

$$\gamma^{(i)} = (i-p, \dots, i-p, i, \dots, i), i = 1, \dots, p-1$$

which spans the cone of all weight vectors. For $\gamma^{(i)}$ the inequality is equivalent to

$$n_1((i-p)\phi(i) + i(\rho - \phi(i)) + n_2\rho_\tau \leq 0.$$

Now observe that if $a(< v_1, \dots, v_i >) \neq 0$, then the least τ for which $a(< v_1, \dots, v_\tau >) \neq 0$ is less than i and therefore $\gamma_\tau = i-p$, while if $a(< v_1, \dots, v_i >) = 0$, then the least τ for which $a(< v_1, \dots, v_\tau >) \neq 0$ is greater than i , so $\gamma_\tau = i$. Correspondingly we get

$$i(n_1\rho + n_2)(\leq)p(n_1\phi(i) + n_2\epsilon(i))$$

where $\epsilon(i) = 1$ if $a(< v_1, \dots, v_i >) \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Having now got rid of the weights, we can now vary the Γ -bases, since the inequality depends rather on the flag than on the particular Γ -bases. The criterion takes the form: $([q], [a])$ is a semi-stable point if and only if for all non-trivial Γ -subspaces V' of V one has

$$\dim(V')(n_1\rho + n_2) \leq \dim(V)(n_1 \dim(q'(V' \otimes W) + n_2\epsilon(V'))$$

where $\epsilon(V') = 1$ if $a(V') \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Now let F' denote the Γ -submodule $q(V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m))$ of F . The family of all such sub-modules, including F itself, for varying F and V' is bounded. Hence for l sufficiently large, all these F' will be l -regular and therefore the equality $q'(V' \otimes W) = H^0(Y, F'(l))$ holds and this

vector space has dimension $P_{F'}(l)$. In this case, the level structure $\alpha : F \rightarrow D$ vanishes when restricted to F' if and only if $a(V') = 0$. Hence $\epsilon(V') = \epsilon(\alpha|_{F'})$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

We recall that P_0 is the polynomial $P - \delta$.

Proposition 4.14. For sufficiently large l , a point $([q], [a]) \in Z'_\Gamma$ is semi-stable if and only if (F, α) is Γ -semi-stable and q induces the isomorphism $V \rightarrow H^0(Y, F(m))$.

Proof. Notice that if $([q], [a])$ is Γ -semi-stable, then the associated map $V \rightarrow H^0(Y, F(m))$ must be injective. This can be seen as follows. Let $V' = \ker(V \rightarrow H^0(Y, F(m)))$ then V' is Γ -stable and $q'(V' \otimes W) = 0$ and $\epsilon(V') = 0$, so by proposition 4.13, which have

$$(4.3) \quad \dim(V')(n_1 P_0(l) + n_2) \leq \dim(V)(n_1 P_{F'}(l) + n_2 \epsilon(\alpha|_{F'})).$$

Here F' is the sub-module generated by $V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m)$ and is hence zero. So $P_{F'}(l) = 0$ and $\epsilon(\alpha|_{F'}) = 0$. Therefore $\dim(V)(n_1 P_0(l) + n_2) \leq 0$, which implies that $\dim(V') = 0$. Hence we can think of V as a subspace of $H^0(Y, F(m))$.

Since the family of modules F' generated by arbitrary subspaces V' of V is bounded, so the set of polynomials $P_{F'}$ is finite. Hence choosing l sufficiently large, and thinking of quotients $\frac{n_2}{n_1} = P(l) \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)} - \delta(l)$ as a quotient of l , the inequality 4.3 holds for a special value of l if and only if it holds for the polynomials. Hence we may substitute $P \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)} - \delta$ for $\frac{n_2}{n_1}$.

For convenience we drop the restriction that ' F is generated by subspaces of V ' and we define $V' = V \cap H^0(Y, F'(m))$ for any non-trivial proper sub-module $F' \subset F$ instead.

Now we may restate the semi-stability criteria as: the point $([q], [a])$ is semi-stable if and only if for all non-trivial proper Γ -submodule $F' \subset F$ with induced framing $\alpha' = \alpha|_{F'}$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \dim V' (1 + \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)}) P \leq P_0(m) (P_{(F', \alpha')} + \epsilon(\alpha') \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)} P)$$

Now we pass to the leading coefficient of the polynomials to derive the inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \dim V' - \epsilon(\alpha') \delta(m) \leq \text{rank}(F') \frac{P(m)}{r}$$

Thus for any quotient module $F'' = F/F'$ with the induced framing, we get

$$h^0((F'', \alpha'')(m)) \geq \dim(V/V') - \epsilon(\alpha'') \delta(m),$$

which equals $(\dim(V) - \epsilon(\alpha)\delta(m)) - (\dim(V') - \epsilon(\alpha')\delta(m))$, which by the linearized inequality 4.5 is greater than $P(m) - \text{rank}(F')\frac{P(m)}{r} = \text{rank}(F'')\frac{P(m)}{r}$.

By the definition of Z , the Γ -framed module (F, α) deforms into a Γ -framed module with torsion free kernel, so that we can apply Lemma 4.4 to F and conclude that there is a morphism of framed modules $\phi : (F, \alpha) \rightarrow (G, \beta)$ such that

- (1) $P_F = P_G$
- (2) $\epsilon(\alpha) = \epsilon(\beta)$
- (3) $\ker(\phi)$ is torsion
- (4) $\ker(\beta)$ is torsion free

Let (G'', β'') be any quotient of (G, β) . Let F'' denote the image of F under $F \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow G''$ and α'' the framing of F'' induced from α . Then one has

$$h^0(G'', \beta'')(m) \geq h^0(F'', \alpha'')(m) \geq rk(F'')\frac{P(m)}{r} = rk(G'')\frac{P(m)}{rk(G)}$$

By Theorem 4.11, we have (G, β) is semi-stable. Now take (G'', β'') as (G, β) . So the equality above holds everywhere. This means that $\text{Im}\phi((F, \beta)) \subset (G, \beta)$ has as many global sections (after a sufficient twist) as (G, β) . Now since (G, β) is globally generated, so $\text{Im}(\phi(F, \alpha))$ is actually equal to (G, β) . Since F and G have the same Hilbert Polynomials, so ϕ is an isomorphism. So (F, α) is semi-stable and $V \rightarrow H^0(Y, F(m))$ is an isomorphism, by counting dimension.

Conversely Theorem 4.11 and Lemma 4.12 state that if (F, α) is semi-stable (and q is given by some isomorphism $V \rightarrow H^0(F(m))$), then for any non-trivial proper sub-module F' of rank r' , one has

$$h^0(F', \alpha')(m) < \frac{r'}{r}P(m)$$

unless both (F, α) and (F', α') are semi-stable, in which case only equality holds.

In the first case, a strict inequality of the leading coefficients will also give a strict inequality of polynomials

$$h^0(F'(m))(1 + \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)})P < P_0(m)(P_{(F', \alpha')} + \epsilon(\alpha')\frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)}P).$$

Hence if (F, α) is Γ -stable, then $([q], [a])$ is Γ -stable, too.

If (F, α) is Γ -semistable, but not Γ -stable, again strict inequality will hold except the case of a destabilizing Γ -semistable submodule (F'', α'') .

In this case $h^0(F'(m)) = P_{F'}(m)$ and

$$h^0(F'(m))(1 + \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)})P = P_0(m)(P_{(F', \alpha')} + \epsilon(\alpha') \frac{\delta(m)}{P(m)}P).$$

This proves the proposition. $\square$

Proposition 4.15. There exists a projective scheme $\mathcal{M}^{ss}$ and a morphism $\pi : Z^{ss} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{ss}$ which is a good quotient for the $\mathrm{SL}(V)$ action on Z^{ss} . Moreover, there is an open subscheme $\mathcal{M}^s \subset \mathcal{M}^{ss}$ such that $Z^s = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^s)$ and $\pi : Z^s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s$ is a geometric quotient. Two points $([q], [a])$ and $([q'], [a'])$ are mapped to the same point in $\mathcal{M}^{ss}$ if and only if the corresponding Γ -framed modules are S -equivalent.

Proof. The first two statements follow from [11, Prop 3.2 and Thm 1.10]. Now we show that any semi-stable Γ -framed module (F, α) corresponding to a closed point $([q], [a])$ can be deformed into its graded object. Suppose that (F', α') is a destabilizing Γ -sub-module and (F'', α'') is the quotient module. We consider the following diagram of extensions parametrized by the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$ obtained by pull-back

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} & \longrightarrow & F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Now $\mathcal{F}$ inherits the framing $a : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ from $F'' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ if $a'' \neq 0$ and from $F' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ otherwise. Then $(\mathcal{F}_0, a_0) \simeq (F, \alpha') \oplus (F'', \alpha'')$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t, a_t) \simeq (F, \alpha)$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Moreover $\mathcal{V} = (pr_{\mathbb{A}^1})_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free of rank $P(m)$ and we can choose an isomorphism $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \simeq \mathcal{V}$. Thus we get a morphism $\psi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Z^{ss}$ such that $\psi(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0)$ lies in the orbit of $([q], [a])$ and $\psi(0)$ corresponds to $(F', \alpha') \oplus (F'', \alpha'')$. Repeating the above construction, we can show that the closure of the orbit determined by a semi-stable framed module contains points corresponding to its claimed “graded object”.

To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that orbits corresponding to “polystable” Γ -framed modules are closed, since closed orbits are separated by a good quotient map. We shall show that if (F_T, β_T) is a flat family of semi-stable framed modules parametrized by some smooth curve T such that all fibers (F_t, β_t) for $t \neq 0$ are isomorphic to a given polystable Γ -framed module, then the same holds at the special fiber (F_0, β_0) .

So suppose that $(F_t, \beta_t) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^s (E_i, \alpha_i)^{\oplus v_i}$ for $t \neq 0$. Note that precisely one α_i is non-zero, say $i = 0$ and that $v_0 = 1$. We have

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}((E_i, \alpha_i), (F_t, \beta_t)) = v_i$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Hence by Lemma 4.16 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}((E_i, \alpha_i), (F_0, \beta_0)) \geq v_i$. Now we can define a homomorphism of Γ -framed modules

$$\phi : (E_0, \alpha_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (E_i, 0)^{\oplus v_i} \rightarrow (F_0, \beta_0)$$

by choosing v_i independent Γ -homomorphisms for each i . By Lemma 4.5, the map ϕ must be an isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 4.16. Let (E, α) and (F, β) be flat families of Γ -semi-stable framed modules parametrized by a scheme T of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ with the same reduced Hilbert polynomial p . Then the function

$$t \mapsto \dim_{k(t)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}((E_t, \alpha_t), (F_t, \beta_t))$$

is upper semi-continuous in $t \in T$.

Proof. Let F_T be a coherent Γ -sheaf on $Y_T = Y \times T$. Let us firstly show that $H^i(Y_T, \Gamma, F_T) = H^i(X, p_*^{\Gamma}(F))$. Recall that $p_*^{\Gamma}(F)(U) = F(p^{-1}U)^{\Gamma}$. We have a spectral sequence $R^p p_* \circ R^q \Gamma(F) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(p_*^{\Gamma})(F)$. But in characteristic zero, taking Γ -invariants is an exact functor, so $R^q \Gamma = 0$ for $q > 0$. And since p is finite, so p_T is finite and thus it is affine. Thus $R^p p_* = 0$ for $p > 0$. Thus $R^n(p_*^{\Gamma}) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Now we have functors

$$(4.7) \quad \{\Gamma\text{-sheaves on } Y\} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_Y} \{\Gamma\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \{\text{Abelian groups}\}$$

$$F \longrightarrow H^0(Y, F) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, F)^{\Gamma}$$

$$(4.8) \quad \{\Gamma\text{-sheaves on } Y\} \xrightarrow{p_*^{\Gamma}} \{\text{sheaves on } X\} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_X} \{\text{Abelian groups}\}$$

$$F \longrightarrow p_*^{\Gamma}(F) \longrightarrow H^0(X, p_*^{\Gamma}(F))$$

Thus $H^i(X_T, p_*^{\Gamma}(F_T)) = R^i(\Gamma_X \circ p_*^{\Gamma})(F_T) = R^i(\Gamma \circ \Gamma_Y)(F_T)$ which by definition equals $H^i(Y_T, \Gamma, F_T)$. Thus one has the Grothendieck complex $P^{\bullet}$ of finite free A -modules bounded above and which calculates the cohomology of $H^i(Y_T, \Gamma, F_T)$. Therefore using $P^{\bullet}$ and following the arguments in [8, chapter 3, Section 12], we see that the function $t \mapsto \dim_{K(t)} H^i(Y_t, \Gamma, F_t)$ is upper semi-continuous.

Step 2: Since the question is local on the base T , so we may suppose that $T = \text{Spec}(A)$. Now suppose that $\beta : F \rightarrow D$ is a Γ -homomorphism of flat families. Then there is an induced morphism

$$p_*^\Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)) \rightarrow p_*^\Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, D))$$

Let $P_F^\bullet$ and $P_D^\bullet$ be the Grothendieck complexes of F and D respectively. Recall that these complexes have the property that the diagram

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} h^i(P_F^\bullet \otimes M) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & h^i(P_D^\bullet \otimes M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(Y_T, \Gamma, \text{Hom}(E, F \otimes M)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(Y_T, \Gamma, \text{Hom}(E, D \otimes M)) \end{array}$$

commutes for any A -module M .

Step 3: Suppose (E, α) and (F, β) are flat families of framed modules. Let $a \in P_{D \otimes M}^0$ be a cycle that represents the framing

$$\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\Gamma-A}(E, D \otimes M \otimes \mathcal{O}_T) = h^0(P_{D \otimes A}^\bullet).$$

Then a gives a chain homomorphism

$$a : A^\bullet \rightarrow P_D^\bullet$$

where $A^\bullet$ is the complex concentrated in zero with $A^0 = A$ and $A^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\psi = (\beta, -a) : P_{F \otimes M}^\bullet \oplus A^\bullet \rightarrow P_{D \otimes M}^\bullet$$

and let $C(\psi)$ denote the associated mapping cone. From the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_{D \otimes M}^\bullet \rightarrow C(\psi)^\bullet \rightarrow (P_{F \otimes M}^\bullet \oplus A^\bullet)[1] \rightarrow 0$$

we get $0 = H^{-1}(Y_T, \Gamma, \text{Hom}(E, D \otimes M)) \rightarrow h^{-1}(C(\psi)^\bullet \otimes M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma-A}(E, F \otimes M) \oplus M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma-A}(E, D \otimes M)$. In particular for any $t \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and $M = k(t)$ there is a pull-back diagram

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} h^{-1}(C(\psi)^\bullet \otimes k(t)) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & k(t) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_t \\ \text{Hom}_{\Gamma-k(t)}(E_t, F_t) & \xrightarrow{\beta_t} & \text{Hom}(E_t, D \otimes k(t)) \end{array}$$

By considering the cases $\epsilon(\alpha_t) = 0$ and 1 individually, we check

$$\dim(\text{Hom}((E_t, \alpha_t), (F_t, \beta_t))) = \dim h^{-1}(C(\psi)^\bullet \otimes k(t)) - 1 + \epsilon(\alpha_t).$$

This shows that the function

$$t \rightarrow \dim_{k(t)} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}((E_t, \alpha_t), (F_t, \beta_t))$$

is upper semi-continuous. By assumption α_t is zero either for all $t \in T$ or for none. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.17. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$ be a polynomial with positive leading coefficient and of degree strictly less than $\dim(X)$. There is a projective scheme $\mathcal{M}_\delta^{ss}(Y \rightarrow X, D, P)$ which is a coarse moduli space for the functor which associates to a scheme T the set of isomorphism classes of flat families of semi-stable Γ -framed modules defined over T with Hilbert polynomial P . Moreover, there is an open subscheme $\mathcal{M}_\delta^s(Y \rightarrow X, D, P)$ which represents the subfunctor of families of stable Γ -framed modules, i.e $\mathcal{M}_\delta^s(Y \rightarrow X, D, P)$ is a fine moduli space. A closed point in $\mathcal{M}_\delta^{ss}(Y \rightarrow X, D, P)$ represents an S -equivalence class of semi-stable Γ -framed modules.

Proof. Suppose T is a scheme parametrizing a flat family (F_T, α_T) of Γ -semi-stable framed modules. Let m be as in the beginning of the section. Then $\mathcal{V} = (p_T)_*(F_T \otimes p_Y^* \mathcal{O}_Y(m))$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $P_0(m)$ on T , and $p_T^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow F_T$ is surjective. Moreover the framing α_T induces a homomorphism

$$a_T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \otimes H^0(D(m))$$

Covering T by small enough open subschemes T_i , we can find trivializations $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|_{T_i}$ invariant under Γ where V is a vector space of dimension $H^0(Y, F_t \otimes p_Y^* \mathcal{O}_Y(m))$ for $t \in T_i$. The composition with these trivializations gives a Γ -invariant homomorphism

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T_i \times X} \mathcal{V}|_{T_i} \rightarrow F|_{T_i}$$

and

$$a_i : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T_i} \rightarrow H^0(Y, D(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T_i},$$

which gives a morphism $f_i : T_i \rightarrow Z' \subset \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{P}$. Moreover by Proposition 4.14, $f_i(T_i) \subset Z^{ss} \subset Z'$. The trivializations of $\mathcal{V}$ over the intersections T_{ij} of two open sets T_i and T_j differ by a morphism $T_{ij} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ i.e $f_i|_{T_{ij}} = g f_j|_{T_{ij}}$. Therefore under the quotient map $Z^{ss} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{ss}$, the images of the morphisms f_i and f_j coincide on T_{ij} and thus glue to furnish a global morphism

$$\overline{f} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{ss}.$$

If the family (F_T, α_T) consists of stable framed modules, then we have $\overline{f}(T) \subset \mathcal{M}^s$. Thus we get a natural transformation of functors

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_\delta^{ss} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{M}^{ss}}.$$

If N is any other scheme with a natural transformation $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_\delta^{ss} \rightarrow h_N$, then the tautological family over Z^{ss} defines a $\mathrm{SL}(V)$ -invariant morphism $Z^{ss} \rightarrow N$, which factors through $Z^{ss} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{ss}$ to furnish the map $\mathcal{M}^{ss} \rightarrow N$. This shows that $\mathcal{M}^{ss}$ is a coarse moduli scheme.

By Luna's étale slice theorem, by taking étale slices to the $\mathrm{SL}(V)$ action on Z^s , we can find an étale cover $\mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s$ over which a universal family (F', α') exists. Let $\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}' \times_{\mathcal{M}^s} \mathcal{M}'$. Take an isomorphism, $\Phi : p_1^*(F', \alpha') \rightarrow p_2^*(F', \alpha')$ which is normalized by the requirement that $p_1^*\alpha' \circ \Phi = p_2^*\alpha'$. The uniqueness result of Lemma 4.5 implies that Φ satisfies the co-cycle condition of descent theory. Therefore (F', α') descends to a framed module on $\mathcal{M}^s$. The assertion that closed points of $\mathcal{M}_\delta^{ss}$ correspond S -equivalence classes now follows from Proposition 4.15. $\square$

5. TENSOR PRODUCT OF FRAMED MODULES ON *curves*

Definition 5.1. Let $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow S_1$ and $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow S_2$ be two framed modules. We define $(V_1, f_1, S_1) \otimes (V_2, f_2, S_2)$ as

$$f_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2} + \mathrm{Id}_{V_1} \otimes f_2 : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow S$$

where $S \hookrightarrow S_1 \otimes V_2 \oplus V_1 \otimes S_2$ is defined as

$$(5.1) \quad S = \{a \oplus b \in S_1 \otimes V_2 \oplus V_1 \otimes S_2 \mid (\mathrm{Id}_{S_1} \otimes f_2)(a) = (f_1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{S_2})(b)\}.$$

Proposition 5.2. If (W, f) is semi-stable then $\ker(f)$ must be torsion free.

Proof. This follows by applying the definition of semi-stability of framed modules to the submodule $\ker(f) \cap \mathrm{Torsion}(W) \subset W$. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. If $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ is a $\delta = |S_{1/2}|$ -semi-stable framed module then the framing f must be surjective.

Proof. This follows by observing that $\deg(\ker(f)) = \deg(W) - |\mathrm{Im}(f)|$, and applying the semi-stability condition. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. A framed module (V, f, S) , where $f : V \rightarrow S$ is surjective, is $\delta = |S|$ -(semi)stable if and only if $\ker(f)$ is a (semi)stable vector bundle in the usual sense.

Proof. Suppose that (V, f) is δ -(semi)stable. Notice that

$$\deg(\ker(f)) = \deg(V) - \chi(V)\delta.$$

Now for any subbundle $W \subset \ker(f)$ we have $\chi(W) = 0$. So $\ker(f)$ is (semi)stable follows by Definition 4.3.

Conversely suppose that $\ker(f)$ is semi-stable. Then for any subsheaf W of V , let $W_1 = \ker(W \rightarrow S)$. Now V is δ -(semi)stable follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\deg(W) - \chi(W)\delta}{\text{rank}(W)} &\leq \frac{\deg(W_1) - \chi(W_1)\delta}{\text{rank}(W_1)} = \frac{\deg(W_1)}{\text{rank}(W_1)} \\ &(\leq) \frac{\deg(\ker(f))}{\text{rank}(\ker(f))} = \frac{\deg(V) - \chi(V)\delta}{\text{rank}(V)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.5. Since a vector bundle is Γ -semi-stable if and only if it is semi-stable, hence we get that a Γ -framed module over a curve is Γ -semi-stable if and only if it is semi-stable for $\delta = 1$.

Proposition 5.6. Let $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow S_1$ and $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow S_2$ are $\delta = |S_1|$ (resp $|S_2|$) (semi)-stable framed modules where f_1 and f_2 are surjective. Then $(V_1, f_1, S_1) \otimes (V_2, f_2, S_2)$ is $|S|$ (semi)-stable (here S is as in Definition 5.1).

Proof. Firstly by Propositions 5.2 and 5.4, it follows that $\ker(f_1) = K_1$ and $\ker(f_2) = K_2$ are (semi)-stable vector bundles. Now we show that

$$(5.2) \quad \ker(f_1 \otimes \text{Id}_{V_2} + \text{Id}_{V_1} \otimes f_2) = K_1 \otimes K_2.$$

The inclusion $\subset$ is clear. For the other inclusion, consider the following diagram and let $v \in V_1 \otimes V_2$ be in the kernel. Consider the following diagram where all the horizontal arrows on the right are surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 = \text{Tor}_1(V_1, K_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 = \text{Tor}_1(S_1, K_2) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \text{Tor}_1(V_1, V_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_1(S_1, V_2) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \text{Tor}_1(K_1, S_2) = 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_1(V_1, S_2) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1(S_1, S_2) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 = \text{Tor}_1(V_1, K_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 = \text{Tor}_1(S_1, K_2) & \longrightarrow & K_1 \otimes K_2 & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes K_2 \longrightarrow S_1 \otimes K_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Tor}_1(V_1, V_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_1(S_1, V_2) & \longrightarrow & K_1 \otimes V_2 & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes V_2 \longrightarrow S_1 \otimes V_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Tor}_1(V_1, S_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_1(S_1, S_2) & \longrightarrow & K_1 \otimes S_2 & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes S_2 \longrightarrow S_1 \otimes S_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Then $v \in V_1 \otimes V_2$ comes from an element v_1 in $K_1 \otimes V_2$. The image of v_1 in $K_1 \otimes S_2$ comes from an element $v_2 \in \text{Tor}_1(S_1, S_2)$. Now since K_1 and K_2 are torsion free by Proposition 5.2, so the morphism $\text{Tor}(S_1, V_2) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(S_1, S_2)$ is an isomorphism. Now one can lift v_2 to $\text{Tor}_1(S_1, V_2)$ and use it to alter v_1 so that v_1 still lifts v but its image in $K_1 \otimes S_2$ is zero i.e v_1 comes from an element in $K_1 \otimes K_2$ as desired.

By the tensor product theorem for vector bundles, it follows that $K_1 \otimes K_2$ is (semi)-stable. Now by Proposition 5.4, it follows that $(V_1, f_1, S_1) \otimes (V_2, f_2, S_2)$ is (semi)-stable. $\square$

Definition 5.7. Let $f : W \rightarrow S_W$ be a framed module. Following notation of 5.1, we define its *second symmetric product* as $f_1 : \text{Sym}^2 W \rightarrow S_1$ where f_1 is the restriction of $f \otimes \text{Id}_W \oplus \text{Id}_W \otimes f$ to $\text{Sym}^2 W \hookrightarrow W \otimes W$ and $S_1 \subset S$ consisting of fixed points of the involution i on S induced by the exchange of $W \otimes S$ with $S \otimes W$. More precisely on $S_W \otimes W \oplus W \otimes S_W$ consider the involution I defined on indecomposable tensors as $s_1 \otimes w_1 + w_2 \otimes s_2 \mapsto s_2 \otimes w_2 + w_1 \otimes s_1$. We have $I_S = i$. Similarly we define the *second wedge product* as $f_\Lambda : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow S_\Lambda$ where $S_\Lambda \subset S$ consists of the -1 -eigenspace of i -action on S .

Proposition 5.8. If $f : W \rightarrow S$ is a $\delta = 1$ semi-stable framed module, then in the notation of Definition 5.7, $f_1 : \text{Sym}^2 W \rightarrow S_1$ is also $\delta = 1$ semi-stable. Further $\ker(f_1) = \text{Sym}^2 \ker(f)$. Similarly $f_\Lambda : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow S_\Lambda$ is also $\delta = 1$ -semi-stable and $\ker(f_\Lambda) = \Lambda^2 \ker(f)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the framing map f_1 is actually surjective. We can further check that if $V = \ker(f)$ then $\text{Sym}^2 V = \ker(f_1)$. Now since $\delta = 1$, so V is a semi-stable vector bundle. Thus so is $\text{Sym}^2 V$. This implies that for $\delta = 1$, $f_1 : \text{Sym}^2 W \rightarrow S_1$ is semi-stable by Proposition 5.4. The last assertion follows from (5.2). $\square$

6. CONSTRUCTION OF COARSE MODULI SPACE OF Γ -ORTHOGONAL BUNDLES WITH FRAMES

In this section, it will be convenient to normalize δ by dividing it by the length of the sky-scraper sheaf $|S|$. Thus the moduli is empty if $\delta > 1$.

Remark 6.1. With $\delta = 1$, for any framed module $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$, $\ker(f)$ is always destabilizing. But in checking *stability* we shall ignore this sub-sheaf.

Now we specialize $p : Y \rightarrow X$ to be a Galois cover of smooth projective curves.

Definition 6.2. Let (W, q') be a Γ -Orthogonal bundle on Y with a surjective homomorphism $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ to a sky-scraper sheaf $S_{1/2}$. We shall say that (W, q', f) is δ -semi-stable if the underlying framed module $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ is δ -semi-stable as a framed module.

Remark 6.3. This definition of (semi)-stability above is a direct generalization of the definition stated in Huybrechts-Lehn [9, HL] and Seshadri [16, CSS].

Corollary 6.4. For $\delta = 1$, if $|S|$ and $\text{rank}(W)$ are coprime then semi-stable points are stable too.

In this section we are interested in constructing the coarse moduli space for the functor $\mathcal{F}_C^{S_{1/2}, ss}$ for $\delta = 1$ which parametrizes semi-stable objects in $\mathcal{F}_C^{S_{1/2}}$.

6.1. Functorial properness of the evaluation map. In this section we prove a ' Γ -equivariant analogue for orthogonal-framed-modules of [2, Proposition 2.8 BS]' by evaluating at many points.

In the following proposition we take $G = \text{GL}_n$ and $H = O_n$. Notice that for any δ a semi-stable framed module $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ cannot admit non-zero maps from line bundles of arbitrary degree. An explicit bound for degree is $\delta|S_{1/2}|(1 - \frac{1}{\text{rank}})$. Similarly $f_1 : \text{Sym}^2 W \rightarrow S_1$ is semi-stable for $\delta = 1$ if $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ is so. So $\ker(f_1)^*$ is a semi-stable vector bundle of positive degree. Thus again it is possible to give the bound of $\frac{\deg(\ker(f_1)^*)}{\text{rank}(\ker(f_1))}$ such that $\text{Sym}^2 W^*$ does not admit non-zero maps from line bundles of higher degree. We call such an integer m in the proposition below.

Proposition 6.5. Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover of smooth projective curves with Galois group Γ . Let $F_{H,G} : \{\text{Schemes}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}$ be the functor that to a scheme T associates the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2}, s_T)$: where $f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2} = \{f_t : W_t \rightarrow S_{1/2}\}_{t \in T}$ is a T -family of semi-stable Γ -framed modules on Y and s_T is a Γ -equivariant section of $\text{Sym}^2 W_T^* \rightarrow Y \times T^*$ that is everywhere non-degenerate. In other words, it is an orthogonal bundle on $Y \times T^*$.

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X$ be a collection of m point outside of the branch locus of $p : Y \rightarrow X$ and the support of $S_{1/2}$. Let $E(G/H)_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{R}} \times T$ be defined by the following diagram

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_{\mathcal{R}}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_T^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_{\mathcal{R}} \times T & \longrightarrow & Y \times T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\mathcal{R}\} \times T & \longrightarrow & X \times T \end{array}$$

Let $F_{H,G,\mathcal{R}} : \{\text{Schemes}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}$ be the functor that to a scheme T associates the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2}, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}})$ where $f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2} = \{f_t : W_t \rightarrow S_{1/2}\}_{t \in T}$ is a T -family of δ -semi-stable framed modules on Y and $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a Γ -equivariant section of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_{\mathcal{R}}^* \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{R}} \times T$ that is everywhere non-degenerate. In other words, it is an orthogonal bundle on $Y_{\mathcal{R}} \times T$.

Then the morphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}} : F_{H,G} \rightarrow F_{H,G,\mathcal{R}}$ induced by evaluation of sections at $Y_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a proper morphism of functors.

Proof. Let T be a reduced affine curve and $p \in T$ be an arbitrary point. We denote $T^* = T \setminus \{p\}$ denote the complement of the point p . We should show that given a T -family of δ -semi-stable framed modules $f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2}$ on $Y \times T$, and a Γ -equivariant every-where non-degenerate section s_{T^*} of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_{T^*}^* \rightarrow Y \times T^*$ together with a Γ -equivariant everywhere non-degenerate section σ_T of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_{\mathcal{R}}^* \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{R}} \times T$ such that for all $p_1 \in T^*$ we have

$$s_{T^*}(\{y\} \times \{p_1\}) = \sigma_T(\{y\} \times \{p_1\}) \forall y \in Y_{\mathcal{R}}$$

then there exists a Γ -equivariant section s_T of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_T^* \rightarrow Y \times T$ prolongating s_{T^*} .

Now s_{T^*} gives rise to a section of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_{T^*}^* \rightarrow Y \times T^*$ which if it doesn't extend to a regular section s'_T on $Y \times T$ would have a pole of order $k \geq 1$ at the divisor $Y \times \{p\} \hookrightarrow Y \times T$. Let u denote the uniformizing parameter at the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{T,p}$. Then $u^k s_{T^*}$ extends to a regular section (again denoted) s'_T of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_T^* \rightarrow Y \times T$ whose restriction to $s'_{T,p}$ would be non-zero. Since $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_p^* \hookrightarrow \ker(f_1)$ is semi-stable of though of positive degree so $s'_{T,p}$ vanishes at finitely many points in the second case.

Lemma 6.6. The section s'_T is Γ -equivariant.

Proof. In this proof, we shall view W_T as a principal GL_n -bundle. Since the property of separatedness is local on the base, the structural map $W_T \rightarrow Y \times T$ is separated because in the Zariski topology, it is a local

GL_n -fibration. Therefore $W_T(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n) \rightarrow Y \times T$ is also separated being a GL_n/O_n -fibration Zariski locally. Thus the structural morphism of the $|\Gamma|$ -fold fibered product of $W_T(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n)$ with itself over $Y \times T$ is also separated. Let $\{m_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ denote the lift of the action of Γ on Y to E and let $\overline{m}_g$ denote the induced lifts on $E_T(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n)$. Now since $Y \times T$ is reduced and since the section $(\overline{m}_g^{-1} s'_T g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ and the diagonal section $(s'_T)_{g \in \Gamma}$ agree on $Y \times T^*$ which is open and dense, so they agree on $Y \times T$. In particular, $s'_{T,p}$ on $Y \times \{p\}$ is Γ -equivariant. $\square$

If the order of the pole k were strictly greater than zero, then by continuity for all $p_1 \in T$, we would have

$$s'_T(\{y\} \times \{p_1\}) = u^k \sigma_T(\{y\} \times \{p_1\}) \forall y \in Y_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

This equality would imply that for $y \in Y_{\mathcal{R}}$, we have $s'_T(\{y\} \times \{p\}) = u^k \sigma_T(\{y\} \times \{p\}) = 0$ because u vanishes at p and σ_T is regular. This is a contradiction owing to the size of $\mathcal{R}$ that we took. So it must be that s_{T^*} extends as a regular section to s'_T . Now since $W_T(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_T$ is a closed embedding, so the entire image of s_T lies in $W(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n)$. In particular, the image of $s'_{T,p}$ lies in $W(\mathrm{GL}_n/O_n)_p$. It is also Γ -equivariant. This is what was required to be shown. $\square$

6.2. Construction of quotient space for $\delta = 1$. Huybrechts-Lehn [9, HL] have considered the closed sub-scheme of Z' of

$$\mathrm{Quot}_Y(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m), P) \times \mathrm{Proj}_Y(\mathrm{Hom}(H, H^0(X, S_{1/2})))$$

such that for $(q, p) \in Z'$ the following factorization of arrows takes place

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-m)^q & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_q \\ \downarrow p & \nearrow & \\ S_{1/2} & & \end{array}$$

To simplify notation we shall denote Z' the locus stable under Γ -action in the description above. Note that over Z' we have a universal map $f_{univ} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow S_{1/2}$.

Let $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2} \in Z'^{ss}$ and consider $\ker(f)$. Then by the boundedness of the family Z'^{ss} it follows that there exists an integer m_0 (independent of $\ker(f)$) such that for any non-zero section s of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \ker(f)^*$, we have $|\mathrm{zeros}(s)| < m_0$.

In the following we fix a Γ -invariant subset $J \subset Y$ outside of ramification locus of $p : Y \rightarrow X$ and the support of S having cardinality $|J|$ greater than m_0 . Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the universal sheaf $\ker(f_{univ})$ on

$Y \times Z'^{ss}$. It is a semi-stable vector bundle of negative degree by Propositions 5.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_G$ denote the associated principal GL_n -bundle. We set

$$(6.3) \quad Q' = (\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}^*)_J = \prod_{j \in J} \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{y_j}^* \rightarrow Z'^{ss}$$

the fiber product taken for all $y_j \in J$ over Z'^{ss} . Let $f' : Q' \rightarrow Z'^{ss}$ be the natural map. It is an *affine* morphism because each $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{y_j}^* \rightarrow \{y_j\} \times Z'^{ss} \xrightarrow{\cong} Z'^{ss}$ is affine being pull-back by $\{y_j\} \times Z'^{ss} \hookrightarrow Y \times Z'^{ss}$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow Y \times Z'^{ss}$, which being a vector bundle is affine.

Note that Q' parametrizes a $\delta = 1$ semi-stable family of framed modules $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ together with "initial values of the quadratic form" on $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \ker(f)^*$ which is a semi-stable vector bundle of positive degree by Proposition 5.8.

Let $q'' : \{\text{Schemes}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}$ be the functor that to a scheme T associates $\{(f_T : W_T \rightarrow p_T^* S_{1/2}, q_T)\}$ where q_T is an everywhere non-degenerate quadratic form and f_T is a $\delta = 1$ -semi-stable family of Γ -framed modules. We shall view q_T equivalently as sections of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 W_T^*$ and further as sections of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \ker(f_T)^*$. By the theory of Hilbert schemes (cf [14, Lemma 3.8.1]), and simple base-change we can show that q'' is representable by a Z'^{ss} scheme Q'' .

Let us define the evaluation map of Z'^{ss} -schemes by

$$ev_J : Q'' \rightarrow Q', (f_T, q_T) \mapsto \{f_T, q(j) | j \in J\}.$$

Lemma 6.7. The evaluation map $\phi_J : Q'' \rightarrow Q'$ is affine for $|J|$ large.

Proof. Suppose that $\phi_J(f_T, q_T) = \phi_J(f'_T, q'_T)$. Then we may assume that $f_T : W_T \rightarrow S_{1/2} = f'_T : W'_T \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ and that q and q' are two different sections of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \ker(f_T)^*$ which agree on J . Since $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \ker(f_T)^*$ is a family of semi-stable vector bundles, so it follows that $q = q'$. The properness follows from Prop 6.5. Thus the evaluation map ϕ_J being proper and injective is affine. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote $\mathrm{GL}^\Gamma(H)$. By our definition of semi-stability of Γ -orthogonal bundles with frames, it is immediate that the $\mathcal{G}$ action on Z'^{ss} lifts to Q'' . Further we have the commutative diagram

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q'' & \xrightarrow{\phi_J} & Q' \\ & \searrow \mu & \downarrow f \\ & & Z'^{ss} \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.7, we have ϕ_J is affine. Further f is affine because its fibers are $(\text{Sym}^2 U)^J$ where U is any vector space of dimension the rank of the framed modules. Hence μ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant affine morphism.

Proposition 6.8. Two Γ -orthogonal framed modules (f, q) and (f', q') are in the same $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit of Q'' if and only if they are isomorphic.

Proof. Suppose that (f, q) and (f', q') are in the same $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit. Then firstly $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ and $f' : W' \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ are isomorphic as Γ -framed modules. Identifying them, q and q' as sections of $H^0(Y, W(\text{GL}_n/O_n))$ lie in the same orbit of the isotropy group of $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ which identifies with its automorphism group as a Γ -framed module. Hence q and q' furnish isomorphic reductions of structure group of W to the orthogonal group. Hence (f, q) and (f', q') are isomorphic as Γ -orthogonal bundles with frames. Conversely if (f, q) and (f', q') are isomorphic then firstly an element of $\mathcal{G}$ takes the underlying Γ -framed module to the other. Identifying them, automorphism group identifies with the isotropy group, and on the other hand, it contains an element taking q to q' . $\square$

Theorem 6.9. There exists a coarse moduli space for semi-stable Γ -orthogonal bundles with frame structure which is a quasi-projective variety. Further the map forgetting the orthogonal structure to the coarse moduli space of semi-stable Γ framed modules is affine.

Proof. Consider the $\mathcal{G}$ equivariant affine morphism $\mu : Q'' \rightarrow Z'^{ss}$. Since the quotient of $Z'^{ss}/\mathcal{G}$ exists, so by Ramanathan's lemma [14, Lemma 4.1] the quotient of $Q''/\mathcal{G}$ also exists. By the universal property of categorical quotients, the canonical morphism $\bar{\mu} : Q''/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow Z'^{ss}/\mathcal{G}$ is also affine. $\square$

6.3. Points of moduli. We separate a few lemmas, before describing the points of the quotient space $Q''/\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 6.10. Let (W, q') be an orthogonal bundle on a curve Y . For any sub-bundle $F \subset W$, we have $\deg(F^\perp) = \deg(F)$.

Proof. This follows from the short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow F^\perp \hookrightarrow W \xrightarrow{\simeq} W^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we use that $\deg(F^*) = -\deg(F)$ because F is a sub-bundle. $\square$

Corollary 6.11. For a sub-bundle $F \subset W$, we have $F = (F^\perp)^\perp$.

Proof. The inclusion $\subset$ is clear and the equality follows by considering degrees and applying Lemma 6.10. $\square$

Proposition 6.12. Let $(f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}, q')$ be a $\delta = 1$ -semi-stable orthogonal bundle with frames. Let $W_1 \subset W$ be any saturated destabilizing subsheaf. Then $W_1^\perp \subset W_1$ and the framing on $W_1^\perp$ is non-zero.

Proof. Let us first suppose that the framing restricted to W_1 is not zero. Then without loss of generality we may suppose that W_1 is a sub-bundle. By the exact sequence

$$(6.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_1 \cap W_1^\perp \rightarrow W_1 \oplus W_1^\perp \rightarrow W_1 + W_1^\perp \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $\deg(W_1 + W_1^\perp) = \deg(W_1)$. Now, the bundle $W_1 + W_1^\perp$ would be contradict the semi-stability of W unless $\text{rank}(W_1 + W_1^\perp) = \text{rank}(W_1)$, which means that $W_1^\perp \subset W_1$. Further by equality

$$\frac{\deg(W_1) - |S|}{\text{rank}(W_1)} = \frac{0 - |S|}{\text{rank}(W)},$$

it follows that $\deg(W_1) \geq 0$. So the framing on $W_1^\perp$ must be non-zero else, $W_1^\perp$ would contradict semi-stability.

Now suppose that W_1 is a destabilizing sub-sheaf of $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ with induced framing zero. Then if $K = \ker(f)$ then $W_1 \hookrightarrow K$. Without loss of generality we may assume that W_1 is a sub-bundle of K else we may take its saturation. Now observe that W_1 is not saturated as a sub-sheaf of W . Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\cdot, S_{1/2})$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, W_1)$ and let $0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W'_1 \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ be the image of $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$. Observe that $W'_1 \hookrightarrow W$ is a sub-bundle with the same slope as W_1 as *framed modules*. Thus we may restrict to sub-sheaves of W with non-zero framing to check semi-stability or semi-stability and we will do so. This reduces us to the first case. $\square$

Remark 6.13. By the proof of Prop 6.12 it follows that checking semi-stability reduces to checking over familiar test objects consisting of sub-bundles W_1 such that $W_1^\perp \subset W_1$ (cf [13]). With trivial framed structure, we recover the condition for orthogonal bundles.

We now want to describe the equivalence relation on semi-stable Γ -orthogonal bundle with frames. Let $(f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}, q')$ be such a bundle. Then by Prop 4.6, ignoring the form q' , we have a filtration $0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W$ of W whose successive quotients are Γ -stable framed modules. If W_1 is not saturated, then we make it so as in the proof of Prop 6.12. Note its saturation continues to be Γ -stable of the same slope. Now W/W_1 is a semi-stable Γ -framed module with induced framing zero and it may be filtered by a Jordan-Holder sequence. Let

us denote such a filtration by $0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset W$. Now by Proposition 6.12, we have that

$$0 = W^\perp \subset W_{n-1}^\perp \subset \cdots \subset W_2^\perp \subset W_1^\perp \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W$$

because all W_i have non-zero framing. Note that $W_1/W_1^\perp$ is an orthogonal bundle and we have *perfect pairings* between W_i/W_{i-1} and $W_{i-1}^\perp/W_i^\perp$, which make $W_i/W_i^\perp$ into an orthogonal bundle. Now we may deform, as in Prop 4.6, W to $W_1 \oplus \oplus_{i \geq 2} W_i/W_{i-1}$. This splits W further as

$$(6.6) \quad \oplus_{i \geq 1} W_i^\perp/W_{i+1}^\perp \oplus W_1/W_1^\perp \oplus_{i \geq 2} W_{i+1}/W_i.$$

As in Prop 1.13 [9], we can find other filtrations of the underlying Γ -framed module W which permute the successive quotients W_i/W_{i-1} . This has the effect of permuting the factors in sequence (6.6) together with the perfect pairings. Note that in Prop 4.6 or Prop 1.13 [9], only one successive quotient is endowed with non-zero framing. In the description above we have fixed the one with non-zero framing as W_1 .

Now we make this into a definition.

Definition 6.14. We say that two semi-stable Γ -framed orthogonal bundles W and $\tilde{W}$ are S -equivalent if there exists filtrations $\{0\} \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W$ and $\{0\} \subset \tilde{W}_1 \subset \tilde{W}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{W}$ by Γ -stable framed modules, by *saturated* sub-bundles, such that the associated graded modules are equivalent (upto permutation).

Definition 6.15. We call a framed module $(f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}, q')$ Γ -polystable if $W \simeq \oplus_{i \geq 1} W_i^\perp/W_{i+1}^\perp \oplus W_1/W_1^\perp \oplus_{i \geq 2} W_{i+1}/W_i$ with

$$\oplus_{i \geq 1} W_i^\perp/W_{i+1}^\perp \oplus W_1/W_1^\perp \oplus_{m \geq i \geq 2} W_i/W_{i-1}$$

$\delta = 1$ -semi-stable *saturated* Γ -framed module for any m .

Definition 6.16. We define morphisms between Γ -stable framed modules $(f_i : W_i \rightarrow S_{1/2}, q'_i)$ (for $i = \{1, 2\}$) as a morphism $\theta : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ between their underlying bundles such that there exists constants c_s and c_q such that $f_2 \circ \theta = c_s f_1$ and $c_q q'_1 = \theta^* q'_2 \theta$.

We may prove an analogue of Lemma 4.5, stating that non-zero morphisms of stable Γ -orthogonal bundles with frames must be isomorphism given by multiplication by scalars.

Proposition 6.17. The points of $Q''/\mathcal{G}$ are given by Γ -polystable framed modules with orthogonal structure.

Proof. Since the quotient $q : Q'' \rightarrow Q''/\mathcal{G}$ is a good quotient, so for each point $W \in Q''/\mathcal{G}$, the fiber $q^{-1}(W)$ contains a unique closed $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit. By standard arguments we may deform $(f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}, q')$ to

its associated Γ -polystable object. To prove that orbits of polystable objects are closed, we may follow the proof of Prop 4.15, working with Definition 6.16. $\square$

7. COMPARISON WITH [6, Gomez-Sols]

Proposition 7.1. Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a double cover of curves. Put $d = \deg(p_*\mathcal{O}_Y)$. For a vector bundle V on X , we have $2\mu(V) + 3/2d = \mu(p^*(V))$.

We recall the definition of (semi)-stability of conic bundles from Gomez-Sols [6, GS].

Definition 7.2. Let τ be a positive rational number and (E, Q) be a conic bundle. We say that (E, Q) is (semi)-stable with respect to τ if the following conditions hold

- (1) (ss.1) If E' is a proper sub-bundle of E , then

$$\frac{\deg(E') - c_Q(E')\tau}{\text{rank}(E')} \leq \frac{\deg(E) - 2\tau}{3}$$

- (2) (ss.2) If $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E$ is a critical filtration, then $\deg(E_1) + \deg(E_2) \leq \deg(E)$.

where $c_Q(E')$ equals 2 if $Q(E', E') \neq 0$, else 1 if $Q(E', E) \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Proposition 7.3. For $\delta = 1$, the δ -(semi)-stability condition implies [GS] semi-stability condition for all $\tau > 0$.

Proof. Let us check ss1. Let V' be a sub-bundle of V . Now

$$\frac{\deg(V) - 2\tau}{3} - \frac{\deg(V') - c_Q(V')\tau}{\text{rank}(V')} = \frac{\mu(W) - \mu(W')}{2} + \tau \left(\frac{c_Q(W')}{\text{rank}(W')} - 2/3 \right),$$

where W and W' are the Hecke-modifications of $p^*(V)$ and $p^*(V')$ respectively. Now for $(c_Q(V') = 1, \text{rank}(V') = 2)$ and $(c_Q(V') = 0, \text{rank}(V') = 1, 2)$, the bundle V would not be non-degenerate. In the remaining cases, the expression is positive.

Let us check ss2. Recall that $V'^\perp$ is defined by

$$0 \rightarrow V'^\perp \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{q} V^* \rightarrow V'^* \rightarrow 0.$$

We denote the Hecke-modifications of $p^*(V)$, $p^*(V')$ and $p^*(V'^\perp)$ by W , W' and $W'^\perp$ respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\deg(W') - \epsilon(W')}{1} &\leq \frac{\deg(W) - \epsilon(W)}{3} \\ \frac{\deg(W'^\perp) - \epsilon(W'^\perp)}{2} &\leq \frac{\deg(W) - \epsilon(W)}{3} \end{aligned}$$

These imply by the equality in Proposition 7.1, applied to V , V' and $V'^\perp$, the condition ss2. $\square$

Proposition 7.4. For $\tau < 1/16$, stability in [GS] implies δ -(semi)-stability condition when q is generically non-degenerate.

Proof. Let W' be a sub-bundle of W . Then if $\tau < 1/16$, then [GS]-stability implies that $\mu(W) - \mu(W') + \epsilon > 0$ where $\epsilon < 1/6$. This means that $\mu(W) - \mu(W') \geq 0$ because the least common multiple of the possible ranks from 1 to 3 is 6. $\square$

Remark 7.5. For the sake of completeness we justify that the parameter τ in Gomez-Sols [6], can be taken to be as small or as large as we like. It is defined in [6, Prop 2.10 GS] as

$$\frac{n_2}{n_1} = \frac{P(l) - P(m)}{P(m) - 2\tau}\tau$$

where n_1 and n_2 are the multiples of the principal polarizations on $\text{Quot}(H \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-m), P)$ and $\text{Proj}(\text{Sym}^2(H^* \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(l - m))))$, P is the Hilbert polynomial of the underlying vector bundles ($P(n) = 3n + d + 3(1 - g)$) and H is a vector space of dimension $P(m)$. For fixed m, l and n_2 as n_1 tends to ∞ , τ tends to 0. So we can make it arbitrarily small. Keeping the difference $n - m$, n_1 and n_2 fixed, by letting m tend to ∞ , we can get τ arbitrarily large because $\tau = 2n_2 \frac{3m+d+3(1-g)}{3n_1((n-m)+2n_2)}$.

We finish this section with a simple observation which proves properness when the quadratic form has "singularities of order one".

Theorem 7.6. The functor $F_S^{ss, \mathbb{Z}/2}$ is proper when the sky-scraper sheaf S has depth one for small values of δ .

Proof. Let $V(y)_{-1}$ denote the -1 eigen-space for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on V_y/m_y . Then in multiplicity one, we have an isomorphism of sheaves

$$S = \oplus_{y \in \text{ram}(p)} V(y)_{-1}.$$

So set-theoretically the functor $F_S^{ss, \mathbb{Z}/2}$ equals the functor $F^{ss, \mathbb{Z}/2}$ (or F for short) which forgets the frame structure and takes semi-stability in the sense of Seshadri [16, CSS]. Moreover, since there are finitely many choices of $\text{rank}(W_1)$ of sub-bundles W_1 and $\epsilon(W_1)$, for small δ the inequality (6.2) holds if and only if $\deg(W_1) \leq 0$ holds. This means that the underlying vector bundle W is semi-stable since its degree is zero because it supports an every-where non-degenerate quadratic form. Recall that in [16, CSS] a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector bundle is defined to be semi-stable if the underlying vector bundle is semi-stable. So the semi-stable objects associated by the functor $F_S^{ss, \mathbb{Z}/2}$ and the functor $F^{ss, \mathbb{Z}/2}$

are in bijection. Now the claim follows from the properness of moduli of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -orthogonal bundles [1].

□

8. SEMI-STABLE REDUCTION FOR $\delta = 1$ AND $|S_{1/2}| < \text{rank}$

We shall assume throughout that the skyscraper sheaf has length $|S_{1/2}| < \text{rank}$. For convenience we shall normalize δ by the $|S_{1/2}|$, so that $\delta = 1$ becomes an extremal case. Recall that then the framing map $f : W \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ is surjective and the kernel becomes a semi-stable vector bundle (of negative degree) by Props 5.2 and 5.4. Also note that tensor product of two $\delta = 1$ semi-stable framed modules will be $\delta = 1$ -semi-stable by Prop 5.6.

Let A denote a discrete valuation ring over complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ with field of functions K . We shall denote $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $U^* = \text{Spec}(K)$. For an extension L of K with ring of integers B we shall denote $T = \text{Spec}(B)$, $T^* = \text{Spec}(L)$ and the special point by t . For a sky-scraper sheaf S we shall denote its length by $|S|$. Subscripts will be used to keep track of where an object (a section, a quadratic form etc) is defined under base-change. The projection maps p_X onto X and p_Y onto Y will be understood from context.

In this section we propose to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. Given any $\delta = 1$ semi-stable family of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -orthogonal bundles with frames $(W_K, q'_K) \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$ over $Y \times K$ there exists an extension L of K with ring of integers B , such that the pull-back extends uniquely to $Y \times B$ as a $\delta = 1$ semi-stable $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -orthogonal bundle with frames $(W_B, q_B) \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$.

Since we want to apply this theorem to the coarse moduli space of Theorem 6.9, so it suffices to check for discrete valuation rings A over complex numbers.

Since the moduli of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -framed modules is complete by Theorem 4.17, so denote the framed module extension by $f_A : W_A \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$.

Example 8.2. Let $V = \mathcal{O}_Y^n$ be the trivial bundle on Y of rank n endowed with the quadratic form $q = \text{diag}(t, \dots, t)$ over $\mathbb{C}((t))$. This quadratic form extends to the zero form on the special fiber of $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. However the family (V_t, q_t) is isomorphic to the constant family (V, q') where $q' = \text{Id}$ on $\mathbb{C}((t))$, which extends as a non-degenerate form, namely Id on the special fiber.

Let $x_0 \in X$ be a point outside the ramification locus of $p : Y \rightarrow X$ and the support of S . Let Y_{x_0} denote the scheme-theoretic inverse image in Y . The following Proposition is about extending Γ -equivariantly

the quadratic form q on $Y \times T^*$ to $Y_{x_0} \times T$, if need be at the expense of the reductive property of its isotropy subgroup. Its proof is as in [2], where it is proved for a connected group G . But the adaptation to orthogonal group is straightforward. So we omit the proof.

Proposition 8.3. There exists a finite extension L of K with integral closure B of A in L , such that in the isomorphism class of the pull-back family on $\text{Spec}(L)$ of $E = (W, q')$, there is a family $E_L = (W_L, q_L)$ such that q_L extends Γ -equivariantly to $Y_{x_0} \times \text{Spec}(B)$ as a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\{y\} \times \{p\}$ for all $y \in Y_{x_0}$. More precisely, q_L seen as a section of $W(\text{GL}_n/O_n)_{Y_{x_0} \times B}$ is identically the identity coset if we choose a trivialization of W on $Y_{x_0} \times B$.

Let $f_B : W_B \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$ denote the pull-back framed module to $Y \times B$. In the moduli of framed bundles, limiting objects may have torsion i.e apriori W_p may not be locally free. Though strictly speaking, logically we do not need the following lemma, but since our claim is that W_B will support an orthogonal structure, so let us convince ourselves in passing that W_p is locally free.

Lemma 8.4. The sheaf W_p is locally free.

Proof. We shall show that W_p has no torsion part. Firstly we note that by the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow p^* V_p \rightarrow W_p \rightarrow S_{1/2} \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\deg(p^* V_p) + |S_{1/2}| = 0$. Were W_p to have torsion, then $\deg(W_p^*)$ would be greater than 0. But by the sequence $0 \rightarrow W_p^* \rightarrow p^* V_p^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that $\deg(W_p) = 0$ because $|\text{Ext}^1(S_{1/2}, \mathcal{O}_X)| = |S_{1/2}|$. $\square$

Recall that $\ker(f_B)$ is a semi-stable family of vector bundles of negative degree. Since the Galois action is trivial along its fibers, so say that it is the pull-back of V_B on $X \times B$. Moreover the restriction of the quadratic form q_L on W_L to $p^* V_L$ goes down on $X \times B$ as say $q_{X \times L}$. Furthermore we have a short exact sequence on $X \times L$

$$(8.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_L \xrightarrow{q_{X \times L}} V_L^* \rightarrow p_X^* S \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall here that $S_{1/2}$ on Y is the "half" of S on X .

Lemma 8.5. The restriction of the quadratic form $q_{X \times L}$ to V_B extends regularly as $q_{X \times B} : \mathcal{O}_{X \times B} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 V_B^*$. Moreover, the restriction of $q_{X \times B}$ on the special point of $\text{Spec}(B)$, is generically non-degenerate.

Proof. The framed module $f_B : W_B \rightarrow S_{1/2}$ is also δ -semi-stable as a framed module at the closed point $p \in \text{Spec}(B)$ and thus so is the kernel $p^* V_p = \ker(f_p)$ as a vector bundle. Either the quadratic form extends

as a regular section of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_B^*$ or it has a pole of order $k \geq 1$. Let u denote the uniformizing parameter of B and $p \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ the closed point. Then $u^k q_{X \times L}$ extends as a non-zero regular section of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_B^*$. On the special fiber of $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$, it vanishes at $x_0 \in X$, so we get a non-zero map $\mathcal{O}_{X \times p}(x_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_p^*$, which contradicts the semi-stability hypothesis. Recall that the degree of V_p is $-|S_{1/2}|/2 =: d$. Thus slope $\mathrm{Sym}^2 V_p^*$ is equal to $\frac{d(n+1)}{n(n+1)/2} < 1$ a contradiction. Thus $q_{X \times L}$ extends regularly to the whole of $X \times B$ as $q_{X \times B} \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 V_B^*$. Since it extends as the identity section over $x_0 \times B$, so it cannot be degenerate at the generic point by continuity. $\square$

Remark 8.6. In the case of symplectic form the estimate becomes $\frac{d(n-1)}{(n-1)n/2} < 1$ which implies the same conditions as for quadratic forms.

In what follows we want to understand q_t . Abbreviating $q_{X \times T}$ as q_T , let $Q \rightarrow X \times T$ be the sheaf defined by

$$(8.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_T \xrightarrow{q_T} V_T^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 8.7. The module Q is flat over the fibers of $X \times T \rightarrow T$. Moreover $|Q_t| = |S|$.

Proof. Since B is a discrete valuation ring, so it suffices to show that Q is free. At the generic point $\eta \in T$ it cannot have torsion. It has no torsion at the closed point t also because we have

$$|Q_t| = \deg(V_t^*) - \deg(V_t) = \deg(V_\eta^*) - \deg(V_\eta) = |Q_\eta|$$

since V_T^* and V_T are flat $X \times T$ -families and thus afortiori flat T -flat families because the map $X \times_{\mathbb{C}} T \rightarrow T$ is flat.

Secondly since $|S| = \deg(V_t^*) - \deg(V_t)$ and $p_X^* S$ is free over the fibers of $X \times T \rightarrow T$, so the second claim follows. $\square$

The sky-scraper sheaf Q admits a filtration defined as follows. Let D denote the divisor of *multiplicity one* which is the support of the sky-scraper sheaf S . Consider the natural section $s_D : \mathcal{O}_{X \times T} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times T}(\{D\} \times T)$ (which is well-defined upto scalar multiplication). Define quotient sheaves Q_n and kernel sheaves K_n by tensoring

$$(8.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times T} \xrightarrow{s_D^n} \mathcal{O}_X(n\{D\} \times T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{nD} \otimes B \rightarrow 0$$

by Q to get

$$(8.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\times s_D^n} Q \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since Q is B -flat and therefore free, so K_n being sub-module of Q are free and thus B -flat too. Note we also have

$$(8.5) \quad K_{n-i} = \text{Img}(K_n \hookrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\times s_D^i} Q),$$

and thus the inclusions of sky-scraper sheaves $K_{n-i} \hookrightarrow K_n$ are split. In particular $K_n \hookrightarrow Q$ is split. Thus Q_n is isomorphic to K_n as B -modules.

Let F_i denote the successive quotient for the natural inclusion $K_{i-1} \hookrightarrow K_i$. Note also that F_i is B -flat. Moreover We thus record

$$(8.6) \quad F_n = K_n/K_{n-1} = \ker(Q_n \rightarrow Q_{n-1}).$$

Similarly under the projection $p_X : X \times T \rightarrow X$, for the sky-scraper sheaf p_X^*S and the sequence (8.3), define S_i like the F_i .

Lemma 8.8. Over $X \times T$, the sheaf Q is isomorphic to p_X^*S .

Proof. By the semi-continuity theorem applied to the sheaves F_i on $X \times T$, we get

$$(8.7) \quad |F_{i,t}| \geq |F_{i,\eta}| = |S_{i,\eta}| = |S_{i,t}|$$

for all i where $t \in T$ is the special point. However we also have

$$\sum_i |F_{i,t}| = \deg(V_t^*) - \deg(V_t) = \deg(V_\eta^*) - \deg(V_\eta) = |S| = \sum_i |S_i|$$

because V_T and V_T^* are flat families over $X \times T \rightarrow T$. Thus we must necessarily have equality in (8.7). Thus the sheaves F_i and S_i are free $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ modules of the same rank. Recall here that we had chosen D to have multiplicity one at each divisor.

Choose arbitrary isomorphisms between them. Now onwards we change our point of view; we shall view $F_i = \ker(Q_i \rightarrow Q_{i-1})$ and similarly S_i as sub-quotients rather than sub-objects of Q . The inclusion

$$\text{Img}(s_D^{i-1})/\text{Img}(s_D^i) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\text{Img}(s_D^i)$$

as a sub-module endows $\text{Img}(s_D^{i-1})/\text{Img}(s_D^i)$ with an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure. Now define $(p_X^*S)_n$ like Q_n in sequence (8.4). As sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times T}$ -modules, Q_n is isomorphic to $(p_X^*S)_n$ by the following equalities (8.8)

$$Q_n = \oplus_{i \leq n} F_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Img}(s_D^{i-1})/\text{Img}(s_D^i) = \oplus_{i \leq n} S_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Img}(s_D^{i-1})/\text{Img}(s_D^i) = (p_X^*S)_n.$$

Taking n sufficiently large, we see that $Q = Q_n = (p_X^*S)_n = p_X^*S$. $\square$

Lemma 8.9. The short exact sequence (8.2) identifies with

$$(8.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_T \xrightarrow{q_T} V_T^* \rightarrow p_{X,B}^*S \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram for the projection $p_{X,L} : X \times L \rightarrow X$ where the bottom row is as in (8.1)

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_T & \xrightarrow{q_T} & V_T^* & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_L & \xrightarrow{q_L} & V_L^* & \longrightarrow & p_{X,L}^* S \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We note that S is a $\mathcal{O}_{|S|D}$ -module. Now by Lemma 8.8 it follows that the image of $Q \hookrightarrow p_{X,L}^* S$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{|S|D} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ -module and a lattice over B isomorphic to $S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \hookrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L$. We choose such an isomorphism $\text{Img}(Q) \xrightarrow{\theta} S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ over the ring $\mathcal{O}_{|S|D} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ and consider

$$p_{X,L}^* S \simeq \text{Img}(Q)_L \xrightarrow{\theta_L} (S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_B L \simeq p_{X,L}^* S.$$

Twisting the morphism $V_L^* \rightarrow p_X^* S$ of (8.10), further by θ_L we see that without changing the isomorphism class of (V_L, q_L) we have a vertical morphism $Q \hookrightarrow p_X^* S$ in (8.10) whose image coincides exactly with the natural image of $S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \hookrightarrow p_X^* S$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8.1. By Theorem 3.2 it follows that the sequence (8.9) corresponds to a T -family $W_T \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$ of framed modules on $Y \times T$ together with a quadratic form $q_{Y \times T}$ which is everywhere non-degenerate. We remark that by properness of framed bundle moduli, the framed module $W_T \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$ must be the pull-back under $A \rightarrow B$ of $W_A \rightarrow p_Y^* S_{1/2}$. This proves the main theorem of this section. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. V. Balaji and C. S Seshadri, *Parahoric bundles on a compact riemann surface*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry (accepted).
2. V. Balaji and C. S. Seshadri, *Semistable principal bundles. I. Characteristic zero*, J. Algebra **258** (2002), no. 1, 321–347, Special issue in celebration of Claudio Procesi’s 60th birthday. MR 1958909 (2003m:14050)
3. O. García-Prada and I. Mundet i Riera, *Representations of the fundamental group of a closed oriented surface in $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$* , Topology **43** (2004), no. 4, 831–855. MR 2061209 (2005k:14019)
4. Oscar Garcia-Prada, Peter B. Gothen, and Ignasi Mundet i Riera, *The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, Higgs pairs and surface group representations*, arXiv 0909.4487v3 (2009).
5. Oscar Garca-Prada, Peter B. Gothen, and Ignasi Mundet i Riera, *Higgs bundles and surface group representations in the real symplectic group*, Journal of Topology (2012).
6. Tomás L. Gómez and Ignacio Sols, *Stability of conic bundles*, Internat. J. Math. **11** (2000), no. 8, 1027–1055, With an appendix by I. Mundet i Riera. MR 1797676 (2002i:14016)

7. ———, *Stable tensors and moduli space of orthogonal sheaves*, arXiv:math/0103150 (2003).
8. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 0463157 (57 #3116)
9. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *Framed modules and their moduli*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 2, 297–324. MR 1316305 (96a:14017)
10. Alessio Lo Giudice and Andrea Pustetto, *Stability of Quadric bundles*, arxiv 1211.7268v2 (2012).
11. David Mumford and John Fogarty, *Geometric invariant theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. MR 719371 (86a:14006)
12. R. Parthasarathi, *On parabolic bundles on Algebraic Surfaces*, Journal of Ramanujam Mathematical Society (accepted).
13. S. Ramanan, *Orthogonal and spin bundles over hyperelliptic curves*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **90** (1981), no. 2, 151–166. MR 653952 (83f:14014)
14. A. Ramanathan, *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. I*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **106** (1996), no. 3, 301–328. MR 1420170 (98b:14009a)
15. Alexander H. W. Schmitt, *Geometric invariant theory and decorated principal bundles*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. MR 2437660 (2010c:14038)
16. C. S. Seshadri, *Moduli of π -vector bundles over an algebraic curve*, Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III Ciclo, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1970, pp. 139–260. MR 0280496 (43 #6216)
17. ———, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Astérisque, vol. 96, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982, Notes written by J.-M. Drezet from a course at the École Normale Supérieure, June 1980. MR 699278 (85b:14023)

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, MOHALI KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, MANAULI PO 140306, INDIA, YPANDEY@IISERMOHALI.AC.IN, YASHONIDHIPANDEY@YAHOO.CO.UK